

Article

Monitoring Forest Recovery Following Wildfire and Harvest in Boreal Forests Using Satellite Imagery

Amar Madoui ^{1,*}, Sylvie Gauthier ², Alain Leduc ¹, Yves Bergeron ³ and Osvaldo Valeria ³

¹ Centre d'étude de la forêt, Université du Québec à Montréal, C.P. 8888, Succursale, Centre-ville, Montréal, QC H3C 3P8, Canada; E-Mail: Alain.Leduc@uqam.ca

² Ressources naturelles Canada, Service canadien des forêts, Centre de foresterie des Laurentides, 1055 du PEPS, C.P. 10380, Station Sainte-Foy, Québec, QC G1V 4C7, Canada; E-Mail: Sylvie.Gauthier@canada.ca

³ Forest Research Institute, Industrial Chair NSERC-UQAT-UQAM in Sustainable Forest Management, Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue, 445 boulevard de l'Université, Rouyn-Noranda, QC J9X 5E4, Canada; E-Mails: Yves.Bergeron@uqat.ca (Y.B.); Osvaldo.Valeria@uqat.ca (O.V.)

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: amar.madoui@gmail.com
Tel.: +514-987-3000 (poste 6872); Fax: +514-987-4647.

Academic Editors: Joanne C. White and Eric J. Jokela

Received: 29 July 2015 / Accepted: 12 November 2015 / Published: 18 November 2015

Abstract: In the managed boreal forest, harvesting has become a disturbance as important as fire. To assess whether forest recovery following both types of disturbance is similar, we compared post-disturbance revegetation rates of forests in 22 fire events and 14 harvested agglomerations (harvested areas over 5–10 years in the same vicinity) in the western boreal forest of Quebec. Pre-disturbance conditions were first compared in terms of vegetation cover types and surficial deposit types using an ordination technique. Post-disturbance changes over 30 years in land cover types were characterized by vectors of succession in an ordination. Four post-disturbance stages were identified from the 48 land thematic classes in the Landsat images: “S0” stand initiation phase; “S1” early regeneration phase; “S2” stem exclusion phase; and “S3” the coniferous forest. Analyses suggest that fire occurs in both productive and unproductive forests, which is not the case for harvesting. Revegetation rates (*i.e.*, rapidity with which forest cover is re-established) appeared to be more advanced in harvested agglomerations when compared with entire fire events. However, when considering only the productive forest fraction of each fire, the

revegetation rates are comparable between the fire events and the harvested agglomerations. The S0 is practically absent from harvested agglomerations, which is not the case in the fire events. The difference in revegetation rates between the two disturbance types could therefore be attributed mostly to the fact that fire also occurs in unproductive forest, a factor that has to be taken into account in such comparisons.

Keywords: Black spruce-feathermoss; disturbance; post-disturbance recovery; revegetation rate; succession; time since disturbance.

1. Introduction

Natural disturbances such as fire or insect outbreaks play an important role in the dynamics of boreal forests and contribute to their maintenance and renewal [1]. Of these natural disturbances, fire remains the most important in terms of the sheer magnitude of the area that is affected [2,3] and this disturbance is responsible for shaping the boreal forest [4,5]. However, forest harvesting in Eastern Canadian boreal forest has gradually increased and, in some regions, even replaced fire in terms of area disturbed per year as the predominant agent of disturbance in this territory [6–9]. In the Eastern Canadian Shield of Quebec, 19,915 km² (0.02%) were affected by fire between 1990 and 2008, in comparison with 51,953 km² (0.49%) of logged forest [9]. At the regional scale, 205,635 ha were burned whereas 413,054 ha were logged in the region west of Lac Saint-Jean between 1973 and 1997 [8]. Moreover, the resilience of the boreal forest and its underlying dynamics may differ considerably between fire and harvesting [10,11]. Currently, there is a wide range of arguments that have contrasted the beneficial and detrimental effects of both types of disturbance on forest recovery.

Post-fire forest recovery in the boreal coniferous forests, *i.e.*, the capacity of the forest to regenerate and to maintain its productivity over the long-term, has been extensively studied for many years [3,4,12]. In burned areas, pre-disturbance species composition and fire severity are factors that will determine the composition of post-disturbance regeneration. Some species of the boreal forest (*Pinus banksiana* Lambert, *Picea mariana* (Miller) BSP, and *Populus tremuloides* Michx.) are well adapted to fire and can colonize burned stands in the first few years following a burn [13,14]. As post-fire regeneration densities of these species are strongly influenced by their basal areas in the pre-fire stands [14–16], a return to the pre-fire vegetation composition is usually rapid when the basal area is sufficient. This is not necessarily observed with traditional clear-cutting where mixed stands with a predominance of deciduous trees will dominate the post-harvest stands [17]. However, post-fire regeneration failures have been observed in Northern Quebec [18,19]. When time intervals between fires are short, there is a lack of regeneration because the stands did not have time to rebuild their areal seed banks between the two episodes [18,20]. Similarly, under certain biophysical limiting conditions, a full restocking of sites after fire may not be reached [21,22].

The type of surficial deposit, drainage characteristics, and the topography of a territory can influence both fire and harvesting post-disturbance forest composition [23–27]. Harvesting with protection of the regeneration and soils (CPRS in Quebec, *i.e.* CLAAG, Careful Logging Around Advanced Growth) has been used since the mid-1990s, and could favor a rapid return of stands to their

pre-harvest compositions by maintaining the pre-established regeneration, which then becomes a major component of the regeneration after harvesting [23,28]. Lecomte *et al.* [25] and Lafleur *et al.* [29] observed, however, that following a low severity fire, sparse regeneration and poor growth can result from CLAAG in paludified sites throughout a stand's history.

Actually, most of the published work on landscape analyses and monitoring forest over larger areas comes from, among all available remote sensing imagery, Landsat imagery [30–32], because images cover large areas (185×185 km) with a high spatial resolution (30 m), quality, and relatively short time frequency. The use of satellite imagery allows for the comparison of the revegetation rate (*i.e.*, rapidity with which forest cover will rebuild) among different types of disturbances such as fire and harvesting [33–35] and it is considered as the most promising method to measure and evaluate landscape vegetation cover [36–38] and landscape metrics analysis [39]. The main objective of this study was to compare forest recovery following fire or harvesting in the black spruce-feather moss subdomain of Western Quebec using satellite data in terms of rate and composition. More specifically, (1) we compared the pre-disturbance conditions in terms of vegetation composition and surficial deposit characteristics; (2) we analyzed the post-disturbance recovery after fire or harvesting using succession vectors that describe changes in vegetation composition throughout time; (3) we evaluated the rate of revegetation over the first 30 years following the disturbance by comparing Landsat imagery taken at different times since the disturbance (between 1985 and 2005); and (4) we compared the post-disturbance land cover composition of fire events and harvested agglomerations. Our first hypothesis was that the vegetation cover types affected by fires vary (from forested to non-forested) and occur on different surficial deposit types, while those affected by harvest are exclusively forested and are mainly located on productive surficial deposits. Our second hypothesis predicted that post-fire and post-harvest revegetation rates are different in young post-disturbance stages but similar in advanced ones. Finally, the third hypothesis suggested that composition is dominated by softwoods in post-fire events and by hardwoods after harvesting.

2. Study Area

Our study area was located within the black spruce-feather moss subdomain [40] of Western Quebec. The study area extended from the James Bay Lowlands in the west to the Canadian Shield to the east (80° W to 74° W), and from 49° N to 51° N, which covers 9218 km^2 (Figure 1). It concerns the four ecological regions 6a, 6b, 6c, and 6d. Ecological regions 6a and 6b belong to the James Bay Lowlands. All 6b and the western part of 6a were dominated by poorly drained organic soils (>60%), whereas clay soils were more common in the eastern part of 6a. Regions 6c and 6d fall on the Canadian Shield and were characterized by thick glacial till and, to a lesser degree, organic soils mostly occurring in the northern part. According to [41], the poorly drained organic soils constitute the dominant type of deposit in the west, while thick glacial till predominates in the east (Figure 1). Mean annual precipitation varies between 700 and 900 mm, while mean annual temperature varies between 2.5°C and 0°C . Topographic relief is relatively uniform and flat in the west and is characterized by plains with a few scattered rocky hills. It is more rugged in the east, consisting mostly of hills. Major bodies of water (*e.g.*, lakes) in the west are few and smaller compared with those in the east, and are more uniformly shaped or circular. In the west, wetlands are more abundant, forming large

peatlands [42], which would explain less pressure on forests by industrial activities in this part of the territory.




Figure 1. Study area and location of fire event and harvested agglomeration that were sampled. Fire event 1: burned areas where pre-burned mature forest covers <40%; fire event 2: burned areas where pre-burned mature forest covers >40% and for which the provided time since fire (TSF) is mainly between 14 and 19 years.

Fires are scattered throughout the territory whereas harvested zones are concentrated in the southern part of the study area, where wetlands are less abundant [42], and extend gradually towards the northeast.

3. Materials and Methodology

Two main data sources were used to compare harvested agglomerations and fire events undergoing post-disturbance recovery, the forest inventory SIFORT (Spatial information on Forest Composition based on Tessellate) database and a classified multi-temporal mosaic developed from Landsat TM (Thematic Mapper) imagery. SIFORT [43] is a geospatial database that divided an area into tiles of 15 s in longitude by 15 s in latitude (approximately 14 ha) where information for each grid centroid is sampled from the forest inventory maps produced by the MFFPQ (Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec) using air photos at 1/5000 scale [43]. It provides information on stand origin, composition, age, height, cover density, surficial deposit, and drainage for each of the three decadal inventories. It is a geo-referenced database grouping data collected from the last three decadal forest inventories (SIFORT 1, 1969; SIFORT 2, 1985 and SIFORT 3, 1994). We have used these databases to identify stand vegetation cover types prior to fire or harvesting disturbances to identify surficial deposit types and to define the time since harvesting in the harvested agglomerations.

The multi-temporal Landsat imagery mosaics were already classified by the remote sensing team of UQAT (Université du Québec en Abitibi-Temiscamingue) and LFC (Laurentian Forestry Centre of the Canadian Forest Service, Quebec). These mosaics were previously used to measure and monitor the state of the forest over a large area (11.6 million ha) of boreal forest in eastern Canada using several criteria (based on a combination of land thematic classes) for a 20-year period (1985–2005) [31]. Kappa validation was assessed using 53,000 fine-resolution geo-referenced digital aerial color photo frames and temporal change error was also performed. A modal filter (90 m by 90 m) was used to reduce classification between classes and size. These mosaics show an overall accuracy varying between 62 and 83% for 1985, 1995, 2000, and 2005 [31,44]. Landsat images were collected during the peak growing season and top-of-atmosphere reflectance correction was used. To report and monitor the state of the forest, a hybrid method (supervised and unsupervised techniques) was used and 48 thematic classes based on Canada's National Forest Inventory were identified [45] for each period 1985, 1995, 2000, and 2005. In the current work, we used this product (48 thematic classes) to construct more robust groupings (with less classes), allowing the characterization and comparison of the post-disturbance recovery within burned and harvested areas over time (see next sections). The classified images were first processed with Majority Filter in ArcGIS 9.3 (ESRI Inc., Redlands, CA, USA), with a 3×3 moving window (pixels 30 m), to eliminate isolated pixels resulting from classification errors of diverse origins. This operation also minimized errors that could occur when overlaying two successive satellite images. As the methods involved many different steps, the overall approach is described in Figure 2. To avoid problems related to pseudo-replication, large N, and spatial auto-correlation, all the analyses were conducted on a per disturbance event basis. This implied, however, that the time since disturbance was more complex to define for the harvested agglomerations (harvested areas over 5–10 years in the same vicinity, see Section 3.2 for details).




Figure 2. Summary of the methodology steps followed in this study.

3.1. Fire Event Selection

Fires were first identified and dated using the spatially explicit database provided by the MFFPQ. The following criteria were used to select post-disturbance areas: (1) multiple satellite mosaics of the same area over time were available; (2) fire events were not truncated and there was no cloud coverage; (3) data from SIFORT were available to determine the pre-fire vegetation and site conditions; and (4) the selected fires had not been salvage-logged. In total, 22 fires with sizes varying between 2000 ha and 52,000 ha were retained for this study (Table 1). The post-fire satellite image dates provided time since fire (TSF), which varied from three months to 29 years. At least two scenes were acquired for each fire, while for some fires (f15, f7, and f13), three post-fire scenes were available. In total, 48 post-fire scenes were obtained for the selected fires, of which 21, 21, and six had a TSF of 0 to 10 years, 11 to 20 years, and 21 to 29 years, respectively.

Table 1. Area, year of disturbance, and time since disturbance (TSD) for each image period for the 22 fire events and 14 harvested agglomerations. For the harvested agglomerations, the 10th and 90th percentiles (in area) are presented in parentheses after the year of disturbance. nd, no data; bh, before harvest.

	Number	Area (ha)	Year of disturbance	TSD			
				Image 1985	Image 1995	Image 2000	Image 2005
Fires	f1	2,026	1996	nd	nd	4	9
	f2	2,486	1987	nd	nd	13	18
	f4	3,162	1976	nd	nd	24	29
	f5	3,271	1996	nd	nd	4	9
	f7	4,243	1986	0.25	nd	14	19
	f9	5,175	1997	nd	nd	3	8
	f10	5,383	1986	nd	nd	14	19
	f13	5,703	1986	0.25	9	14	19
	f14	5,853	1988	nd	nd	12	17
	f15	6,129	1980	nd	15	20	25
	f17	6,973	1996	nd	nd	4	9
	f18	7,376	1976	4	9	nd	nd
	f20	10,177	1996	nd	nd	4	9
	f21	10,373	1995	nd	nd	5	10
	f22	11,220	1986	nd	nd	14	19
	f26	20,152	1986	nd	nd	14	19
	f27	21,262	1996	nd	nd	4	9
	f28	20,914	1976	nd	nd	24	29
	f29	33,325	1986	nd	nd	14	19
	f30	36,325	1983	nd	nd	17	22
	f32	42,753	1996	nd	nd	3	8
	f33	51,882	1986	nd	nd	14	19
Harvest	c1	15,932	1976 (1971–1980)	9	19	24	29
	c2	27,152	1978 (1974–1982)	7	17	22	27
	c3	48,121	1978 (1976–1981)	7	17	22	27
	c4	7,626	1980 (1978–1982)	5	15	20	25
	c5	16,923	1976 (1971–1979)	9	19	24	29
	c6	12,595	1981 (1978–1984)	4	14	19	24
	c7	96,574	1978 (1976–1983)	7	17	22	27
	c8	43,823	1988 (1984–1993)	bh	7	12	17
	c9	4,165	1985 (1984–1985)	0	10	15	20
	c10	14,379	1986 (1984–1988)	bh	9	14	19
	c11	11,878	1990 (1987–1994)	bh	5	10	15
	c12	47,982	1989 (1984–1993)	bh	6	11	16
	c13	34,146	1987 (1985–1990)	bh	8	13	18
	c14	35,403	1988 (1984–1992)	bh	7	12	17

3.2. Delineation of Harvested Agglomerations

The harvested agglomerations that result from an agglomeration of harvested blocks over time were digitized by drawing polygons on the satellite imagery with ArcGIS 9.3. These areas were easily identified on the images by their regular geometric configurations. To ensure that these were authentic harvested agglomerations, SIFORT data containing logging dates were superimposed on the satellite images. It should be noted that the boundaries/edges of these harvested agglomerations were delineated subjectively on the images by remaining within the boundaries of the same harvested agglomeration.

While a fire event is produced over a relatively short period of time, *i.e.*, a few days to several weeks, a harvested agglomeration can result from several years of harvesting. Consequently, when comparing post-fire and post-harvest forest revegetation, the latter will exhibit a spread of ages over time. To minimize this effect, using the SIFORT database, we retained only agglomerations that took less than 10 years to be created (Table 1). To estimate mean TSH (time since the last harvest) for each harvested agglomeration, we calculated the mean logging date, weighted by the surface area harvested each year for each harvesting agglomeration from the SIFORT database (Table 1).

Because of their spatial concentration and agglomeration, the number of harvested agglomerations that we could select was limited. In total, 14 harvested agglomerations (numbered c1, c2, c3, *etc.*) were chosen, with sizes varying between 4000 ha and 97,000 ha. Considering the SIFORT minimal resolution of 14 ha, that means we have between 285 and 6928 points to describe pre-disturbance conditions for each selected harvested agglomeration. Once harvested agglomerations had been chosen, we proceeded with extracting information from the classified satellite images. We were able to generate 50 scenes for 14 harvested agglomerations that corresponded to at least three post-disturbance time periods for each harvested agglomeration. The mean TSH dates varied between six months and 29 years, with 16 scenes of 0–10 years, 20 of 11–20 years, and 12 of 20–29 years (Table 1).

3.3. Pre-Disturbance States

As knowing the composition of the vegetation cover types that existed prior to the disturbance is important in explaining changes that take place afterwards, we used the SIFORT database to describe both vegetation composition and surficial deposits. One variable, describing the vegetation cover types before disturbance (combining the land class codes, stand composition types, disturbance origin, and age class), was created for each fire event and each harvest agglomeration. In order to standardize information codes available in SIFORT 1, 2, and 3, 11 vegetation cover types were defined (Appendix Table A1): bare humid, bare dry, open water, recently disturbed, deciduous regeneration, and conifer regeneration, with mature forest covers of shade-intolerant deciduous species, shade-intolerant deciduous species with conifers, jack pine, black spruce, and balsam fir. The surficial deposits and drainage class corresponding to the disturbed areas were also extracted from the SIFORT database. Surficial deposits and drainage were grouped in seven classes: rocky outcrops, tills, Cochrane tills, sand types, mesic clay, sub-hydric clays, and poorly drained and organic soils (Org) (Appendix Table A2).

As a first step, principal component analyses (PCA) were conducted in JMP 7.0.1 (Version 7.0.1, SAS Institute 2008, Cary, NC, USA) to assess whether fire events and harvested agglomerations were produced under (1) similar vegetation cover types and (2) similar surficial deposits and drainage conditions. According to [46], the PCA is an ideal technique for data with approximately linear relationships among variables. Its objective is to reduce a data set with n objects and p variables to a smaller number of synthetic variables that represent most of the information in the original data set. The structure of the ordination is based solely on the matrix of correlations among variables. Vegetation type or surficial deposit proportions were expressed for each fire event and harvested agglomeration.

3.4. Post-Disturbance Changes

We evaluated the post-disturbance changes using three different analyses. First, we looked at succession changes using the land cover type of the classified satellite images. Secondly, we assessed the rapidity of forest recovery by looking at four forest development stages that correspond to a recovery gradient of forests. Finally, we evaluated whether forest composition differs among disturbance types using composition information from the images.

3.4.1. Post-Disturbance Successional Pathways

In order to compare the post-disturbance recovery between a fire event and harvested agglomeration, we first produced a PCA ordination using the 48 post-fire and 50 post-harvest scenes and the land cover types of the satellite images (Appendix Table A3) as the vegetation descriptors. The successional vectors of change were created by joining the information of the same scene for successive time periods (corresponding to time since disturbance, TSD).

3.4.2. Rate of Forest Revegetation

To estimate the rates of forest revegetation of the disturbed areas, the 48 land thematic classes of satellite images were grouped based on the nature of cover type, stand cover density (open cover types vs. closed cover types), stand cover composition, and TDS abundance profile into four development post-disturbance stages (Appendix Table A3). The Stage 0 (S0: stand initiation phase) groups cover type still showed traces of recent disturbances such as burn or post-fire regeneration and were mostly abundant in the first 10 years after disturbance; regeneration Stage 1 (S1: early regeneration phase), representing the early stage of development, was composed of land cover types that corresponded mostly to low height shrub vegetation and also occurred mainly during the first 10 years after disturbance; Stage 2 (S2: stem exclusion phase) grouped young mixed forest cover types mostly characterized by mixed regeneration and open mixed cover with a deciduous component. This stage appears more frequently in the Landsat images of more than 10 years post-disturbance and can last up to 30 years post-disturbance a time where coniferous species start to dominate the canopy. Lastly, Stage 3 (S3: the coniferous forest) grouped coniferous forest cover types typically observed in black spruce-feather moss forest in which the canopy is dominated by black spruce and jack pine and corresponded to either residual habitats or revegetation generally established around 30 years

following disturbance. The shaded, cloudy snow and ice classes, together with rocky outcrops and urban environment cover land classes, were grouped as ‘other’ and excluded from the analyses. This classification results from the metadata associated with the image classification and was confirmed by observation of successive images from the same scene for which TSD was known.

Forest revegetation rates were obtained from the overlap of two sequential classified satellite images of the same territory, corresponding to different times since the disturbance. For example, for a fire event that was disturbed in 1986, by superimposing the images of 2000 and 2005, we assess transition (changes of the stage) for all cells composing a fire. As forest succession did not follow a gradual process or evolution, the transitions from the initial stage to any of the successive ones were then pooled in three types of changes depending on the initial stage: from S0 to S1, S2, or S3; from S1 to S2 or S3; or from S2 to S3. The same exercise was applied for all the fire events and harvested agglomerations and the results were then reported over a fixed period of time (*i.e.*, five years) for comparison purposes. The observed rates of revegetation in the fire events can therefore be compared with the harvested agglomerations. Arcsine-square root-transformation of the rate of revegetation (as a proportion) was performed prior to the statistical analysis, where a *t*-test was applied to determine how the mean rates of forest revegetation for fire events compared with the harvested ones.

To provide the most pairwise comparisons of forest revegetation after fire and forest harvesting, we compared the harvested agglomerations only with the fires that had burned mature forests. To do so, an analysis was conducted with ArcGIS 9.3 to identify the burned areas that had originated from mature forest cover available in the SIFORT database before the fire. In order to perform comparisons between post-fire and post-harvest, Landsat images taken between 10 and 29 years after disturbance were used.

3.4.3. Post-Disturbance Composition

In order to assess if post-disturbance compositions were similar, three groups were created according to the TSD for all harvested agglomerations and only the fire events that had burned at least 40% of the mature forest cover. Group 1 corresponded to a TSD of 0–13 years of age, group 2 to a TSD of 14–20 years of age, and group 3 consisted of TSD > 20 years of age. The 48 land thematic classes from Landsat were also grouped into four land cover types: (1) unproductive and non-forested land cover types, (2) recently disturbed, (3) coniferous, and (4) deciduous (Appendix Table A4). The unproductive and non-forested land cover types were excluded from these analyses.

4. Results

4.1. Pre-Disturbance States

The vegetation cover types clearly separated the pre-fire events from the pre-harvested agglomerations on the PCA ordination (Figure 3a). The pre-fire events are situated on the left side of axis 2, while the pre-harvested agglomerations are on the right. Overall, three fire events (f17, f27, and f33) and four harvested agglomerations (c4, c7, c10, and c11) overlapped near the center of the graph. This distinction between the two disturbances showed that vegetation cover types that are affected by fire and logging differ considerably. In fact, harvesting took place solely in mature deciduous and




Figure 3. Results of two PCAs based on pre-disturbance vegetation cover types (**a** and **b**) and the types of surficial deposits (**c** and **d**) on which the two disturbances occurred for 22 fire events and 14 harvested agglomerations. Data derived from the SIFORT database. Each cover type or surficial deposit is described as its relative proportion (%) within its disturbed area. (**a** and **c**) Scores. The letters preceding numbers represent type of disturbance (f = fires; c = harvests), (**b** and **d**) Loadings. RegC (coniferous regeneration), RegD (deciduous regeneration), Dist (disturbance), ID (mature forest covers of shade-intolerant deciduous), BH (bare humid), BD (bare dry), JP (mature forest covers of jack pine), H₂O (water), DeC (mature forest covers of shade-intolerant deciduous), BF (mature forest covers of balsam fir), BS (mature forest covers of black spruce), Roc (rocky outcrops), Til (tills), CTil (cochrane tills), Sand (sand types), MClay (mesic clay), HClay (sub-hydric clay), Org (organic soils).

4.2. Post-Disturbance Changes

4.2.1. Post-Disturbance Successional Pathways

The PCA ordination of post-disturbance land cover types shows the same distinction as the pre-disturbance one: for the most part, harvested agglomerations are distinct from the fire events (Appendix Figure A1a). The harvested agglomerations appear mostly to the right of the first axis while the fire events are on the left. Some older fire events are found on the right side with the harvests, such as f18, f4, f22, and f30. In addition, certain young harvested agglomerations are found on the left side with the fires, such as c4, c7, c8, c10, and c12. The harvested agglomerations are mostly linked to deciduous cover types (Appendix Figure A1b).

To control for time since disturbance, we compared six fire events (five fires that were 14 to 19 years old and one fire that was 24 to 29 years old) with 14 harvested agglomerations of similar age. The PCA shows that the harvested agglomerations are distributed according to a TSD gradient expressed by axis 1, separating the young harvests (to the left) from the old harvests (to the right) (Figure 4a,b). In the young harvests, we encounter an abundance of recently disturbed covers (harvests and post-harvest regeneration) compared with old harvests that were dominated by open deciduous species and dense mixedwood stands. In the six fire events, all post-fire stages are located in the lower left-hand region of the ordination, in close proximity to young harvests dominated by coniferous woodlands with moss, and moss and rock ground cover. The successional vector lengths of the six burns (in bold) are shorter and more diverse in direction than those of the harvests (Figure 4a). However, the harvest trajectory is longer and shows two patterns of recovery (evolutionary series): one generating mixed regeneration, while the second generates more open and humid areas, which are less forested (Figure 4b).




Figure 4. Cont.




Figure 4. PCA showing successional pathways of post-disturbance land cover types of fire events and harvested agglomerations. Each land cover type is described using its relative proportion within the disturbed area. **(a)** Disturbance scores (fire events and harvested agglomerations). The digit represents the TSD (time since disturbance). The fire events (in bold) with pre-burned mature forest covers >40%. **(b)** Loadings of land cover types. CfRg (coniferous regeneration), Herb (perennial crops, pasture, fallow, grassland), DcRg (deciduous regeneration), DyDc (dense young deciduous), OmDc (open mixed deciduous tendency), ExL (exposed land), OCfmo (open coniferous with moss), DeDc (dense deciduous), MCfmo (medium coniferous cover with moss), DmDc (dense mixed deciduous tendency), MoRoc (moss and rock), BpBrHpHr (burn, post-burn regeneration, harvest, and post-harvest regeneration), Cwmo (coniferous woodland with moss), DmCf (dense mixed deciduous with coniferous tendency), ODc (open deciduous), DmCf (dense mixed deciduous with coniferous tendency), OmDcCf (open mixed deciduous and coniferous tendency), LSh (low shrubs), WITr (wetland with tall shrubs and trees), MRg (mixed regeneration), Lic (lichens).

4.2.2. Post-Disturbance Forest Revegetation Rates

Among the 22 fires, only six fires presented at least 40% of mature forest cover in the fire event (f7, f10, f13, f28, f29, and f33) and a time since disturbance similar to what is observed in harvested agglomeration (Table 2). Comparison of forest revegetation rate was done between these six fire events (five that were 14 to 19 years old and one that was 24 to 29 years old) and nine harvested agglomerations (all 11 to 25 years old) of similar age (Table 3). When the entire area of each fire event is considered (Table 3a), the six fires show a lower revegetation rate compared with harvested agglomerations when starting from S0 (0.45 ± 0.15 vs. 0.76 ± 0.16) and S1 (0.58 ± 0.12 vs. 0.66 ± 0.11) (Table 3c). Note, however, that the S0 maturation stage appears rarely after harvesting (cover less than 10% of harvested area) since advanced regeneration was protected in harvesting operations. When starting from S2, in contrast, revegetation rates are very similar between the harvests

and fires (0.11 ± 0.07 vs. 0.11 ± 0.06). The S2 maturation stage also appears relatively rare for both disturbance origins because of the young age of the compared areas (less than 20 years for most of them). When considering only the mature forest portion of the fire events, the rate of revegetation from S1 (0.64 ± 0.1), the most important initial stage for both disturbance origins, appears more similar to the rate that was calculated for the harvested ones (0.66 ± 0.11) (Table 3b,c).

Table 2. Amount of mature cover (%) in pre-disturbed areas. The “mature” forest covers were considered when black spruce (BS), jack pine (JP), shade-intolerant deciduous species (Fi), shade-intolerant deciduous species with conifers (DeC), and balsam fir (BF) were present. In bold, fire events with >40% pre-disturbance mature forest cover and for which TSF (time since the last fire) is estimated between 14 and 19 years. *TSF was estimated to be between 24 and 29 years.

No fire	Mature cover (%)	No harvest	Mature cover (%)
f28*	75.7	c10	88.3
f10	75.3	c04	83.7
f33	72.1	c07	83.1
f21	67.7	c11	82.5
f27	67.7	c02	80.3
f17	65.7	c03	77.6
f1	61.4	c08	77.5
f29	54.3	c09	76.6
f5	50.8	c12	76.5
f13	48.7	c14	72.3
f7	48.4	c15	72.3
f20	37.5	c13	71.1
f26	26.2	c06	60.5
f9	22.1	c01	58.3
f4	17.9		
f30	16.9		
f14	14.5		
f2	14.1		
f22	12.9		
f18	12.4		
f15	11.2		
f32	10.7		

Table 3. Comparison of revegetation rate (over a five-year period) between burned (14 to 29 years old) **(a)** for the entire area of fire events, **(b)** for the fires that burned only in the mature forested portion, and **(c)** harvested (10 to 25 years old) agglomerations. Means and standard deviations (SD) are included for each type of change.

(a)				
Fires	Period	Forest revegetation rate (over a five-year period) for each type of change		
		S0 to S1-S2-S3	S1 to S2-S3	S2 to S3
f10	14–19	0.37	0.47	0.17
f33	14–19	0.43	0.60	0.21
f28	24–29	0.76	0.41	0.04
f29	14–19	0.40	0.67	0.11
f7	14–19	0.34	0.74	0.03
f13	14–19	0.41	0.57	0.12
Mean		0.45	0.58	0.11
SD		0.15	0.12	0.07
(b)				
Fires	Period	Revegetation rate (over a five-year period) for each type of change		
		S0 to S1-S2-S3	S1 to S2-S3	S2 to S3
f10	14–19	0.37	0.47	0.17
f33	14–19	0.46	0.66	0.21
f28	24–29	0.74	0.46	0.04
f29	14–19	0.40	0.73	0.10
f7	14–19	0.33	0.72	0.01
f13	14–19	0.43	0.68	0.11
Mean		0.46	0.64	0.11
SD		0.14	0.10	0.08
(c)				
Harvest	Period	Revegetation rate (over a five-year period) for each type of change		
		S0 to S1-S2-S3	S1 to S2-S3	S2 to S3
c4	20–25	0.53	0.80	0.03
c6	19–24	0.53	0.62	0.04
c8	12–17	0.91	0.76	0.15
c9	15–20	0.92	0.65	0.09
c10	14–19	0.80	0.53	0.08
c11	10–15	0.74	0.61	0.07
c12	11–16	0.94	0.60	0.18
c13	13–18	0.66	0.52	0.17
c14	12–17	0.85	0.81	0.21
Mean		0.76	0.66	0.11
SD		0.16	0.11	0.07

In terms of statistical inference, the revegetation rate of the S0 initial stage appears faster after harvesting than after fire ($p = 0.001$ for S0 initial stage). This difference is significant when we consider only the mature forest portion of the pre-fire event or the entire burned area. For the S1 initial

stage, the difference appears quasi-significant when we compare the revegetation rate of the entire area of the fire event to the harvested agglomeration ($p = 0.107$), and becomes non-significant if we consider only the mature forest portion of the fire event ($p = 0.488$). As expected by the simple comparison of their mean values, the revegetation rate of the S2 initial stage shows no significant difference between the fire event and harvested agglomeration.

4.2.3. Post-Disturbance Composition

Cover composition comparisons were made using fires that had at least 40% of mature forest prior to the disturbance. Land cover composition for areas aged between 0 and 13 years following fire was largely dominated by the recently disturbed class (68%), followed by coniferous (19%) and broad-leaf tree species (13%) (Figure 5). Following harvest, broad-leaf vegetation dominates (45%), followed by conifers (36%), with the recently disturbed class representing only 19%.




Figure 5. Comparison of cover composition between fire events and harvested agglomerations in three TSD classes. Only fires that had burned at least 40% of mature forest cover prior to the disturbance have been analyzed.

For fire events that were aged 14 to 20 years following the fire, the recently disturbed class is also dominant (42%), followed by broad-leaf tree species (35%) and conifers (23%). Following harvest, broad-leaf tree species remain more dominant (57%), followed by conifers (39%). The recently disturbed class decreases substantially to 4% of the harvested area.

In post-fire events exceeding 20 years of age, the recently disturbed class decreases (21%), and broad-leaf tree species (44%) and conifers (35%) increase in the burned area. Similarly, the recently disturbed class decreases in harvested stands until 2%, leading to dominance by broad-leaf tree species (60%) and conifers (38%).

5. Discussion

Although many studies have used satellite imagery to characterize revegetation dynamics after major disturbances (e.g., [47–49]), to our knowledge, none have compared post-fire and post-harvest revegetation on a large scale in the boreal forest. The use of temporal satellite images has limitations related to classification accuracy due to a complexity of spectral characteristics of the Earth's surface [50]. Spectral response is influenced by factors such as the species mixture, canopy closure, and understory contribution [51]. These factors produced a broad range of spectral values and textures related to one stand structure instead of its composition. For example, [52] found low accuracy classifying from Landsat images of mixed stands, and [53] found that stand age and height influence the overall canopy and understory reflectance values. Adding textural information during the classification process can improve classification accuracy by 12% or more [54]. Among remote sensing analysis methods, geographic object-based image analysis is considering a promising approach [55,56]. This approach integrates image segmentation and classification and radiometric and textural image attributes that reduce the level of subjectivity by the analyst as used in Enhancement-Classification Method (ECM) [31].

We trust that these weaknesses are not major factors in our analysis, however. First, our analysis was made on part of the eastern boreal forest only, and concerned areas that had recently been disturbed only, reducing the variability in forest types as compared to larger areas. The ordination performed on all land cover types also showed a clear discrimination between fire events and harvested agglomerations, suggesting that post-disturbance vegetation dynamics follow different pathways depending on the disturbance origin. Furthermore, succession rates were assessed on differences between highly contrasted land cover types that characterize canopy closure over the first 30 years in black spruce-feather moss forest (see Appendix Table A3). For instance, Stage 1 (S1: early regeneration) and Stage 2 (S2: stem exclusion) are grouping several of the 48 thematic classes and have spectral values associated with shrub vegetation or mixed forest cover types, respectively. These highly contrasted classes constitute a robust grouping. Finally, results reported in this study were mainly based on a comparison between fire events and harvested agglomerations. As land cover types that constitute each of our successional stages appeared in both disturbances, it would be surprising that the highlighted differences could result from an unbalanced distribution in error rates between the two disturbance types.

Concerning the pre-disturbance state, the environments in which fire and harvesting occur may differ over space and through time. Fires are not “very selective”, occurring in the spring and summer more or less randomly within the landscape [57–59]. Logging operations take place in the mature forest fraction of the landscape, and tend to be conducted on productive sites. Our results show that fires occurred in a higher diversity of environments without any noticeable distinction in the vegetation cover, thereby confirming the random nature of fires in the boreal forest. Madoui *et al.* [60] also showed that fires can propagate in non-productive forested areas (open wetlands) under extreme meteorological conditions. In fact, surficial deposits and landscape configuration strongly contribute to how succession takes place [20,61–63]. It is evident that differences in forest cover composition and the surficial deposits of harvested or fire events could explain the results that we obtained.

Lafleur *et al.* [29] found that stocking after harvest is affected more strongly by soil type than by harvesting method.

Considering that post-disturbance succession is largely influenced by the severity of the disturbance and pre-disturbance vegetation [14,62], the non-productive forested character of the vegetation cover prior to the fire could explain some apparent regeneration failures seen after fires. As harvesting occurs almost exclusively in productive forest, this type of problem is less likely to be observed in these landscapes, although the survival of pre-established regeneration could be influenced not only by harvesting but also by the changes that the site undergoes after logging [64].

5.1. Post-Disturbance Recovery

The differences in land cover dynamics between post-fire and post-harvest disturbances could be attributable predominantly to variation in the biological legacies left behind after these types of disturbances [65]. In comparing the different scenes taken after fire and harvesting during the 30 years following the disturbance using successional vectors, we noticed that fire events show little change in their land cover types. The harvests show more change in vegetation composition and their successional pathways appear to be much longer. Even though harvested agglomerations appear at a more advanced stage of recovery than burned areas of a similar age, harvesting favors the establishment of an immature deciduous stage that could delay the return of coniferous cover.

These differences between post-fire and post-harvest forest recovery are best illustrated through ordination, which includes harvests and only the fires that occurred mostly in productive forests (Figure 4). The short successional vectors of fires suggest that their vegetation covers undergo little change. In fact, according to [66], the short successional vectors of fires reflect a re-establishment of forest stands by the same species, especially the relatively mono-specific tendency of jack pine. The revegetation rate of the forest cover in fire events occurs differently when compared with the mature logged areas. From one standpoint, the harvested agglomerations consist of very few stand initiation areas (stage 0) in comparison with fire events of a similar age. The fires, especially when severe, return the ecosystem to its initial stage of development by burning the humus layer, thereby exposing the mineral soil and destroying competing vegetation. Logging operations protect the humus layer and understory vegetation [11], which explains why S0 is practically absent from harvested agglomerations. This advanced recovery in logged areas is also seen in S1 but to a lesser extent. This can be explained by the fact that the fires extend over heterogeneous environments (productive and unproductive vegetation cover) such as wetlands and lichen ground covers, among others. The surge in regeneration in environments with low productivity would then be weaker than in forested sites. Forest harvesting occurs only in the productive forest fraction of the harvest agglomeration, in which sites with low productivity are absent. This is consistent with what the analysis of the forested fraction suggests for fire events; it shows that revegetation rates are similar to those of the harvested agglomerations when considering the transition from S1 and from S2. These results suggest that the limitations of post-fire revegetation could be attributed to the state of the vegetation cover prior to fire more than to the effect of fire *per se*.

5.2. Post-Disturbance Composition

In the first 13 years following fire, burned areas differed in land cover composition from harvested ones. The recently burned class dominates the post-fire events, whereas the broad-leaf and coniferous classes dominate the harvested agglomerations. In fact, fire events begin regenerating on soils devoid of vegetation (primary stage of succession), whereas harvested agglomerations already contain established vegetation, which explained the abundance of conifers. In early stages of succession, harvested stands that were harvested using CLAAG contain a relatively larger coniferous component than burned stands do, due to the protection of advanced coniferous regeneration. When examining fire events and harvested agglomerations in this age class (0 to 13 years old), we observe that half (50%) of the fire events that were sampled could be dated between four or five years following disturbance, compared with only 19% in the harvested agglomerations. This response could explain the dominance of the recently disturbed stage following fires, representing the period prior to conifer establishment. At this stage, low shrubs and post-fire regeneration are dominant.

Between 14 and 20 years following disturbance, fire events are still in the process of recovery, which would explain the dominance of the recently burned class. Conversely, this component is negligible in harvested stands. At this age stage, the deciduous component dominates harvested stands. This has been observed and supported by several authors; indeed, Harvey and Bergeron [17] found that, following harvest, a significant reduction in conifer density was observed, which led to a mixed species composition or dominance by hardwoods.

At an older age (>20 years), vegetation closure is more pronounced in harvested *versus* burned stands. In harvested agglomerations, we did not observe any large changes in stand composition, except in the recently disturbed class, which disappears in favor of an increase in the hardwood component. In contrast, the coniferous component of burned stands increases at the expense of the recently disturbed class. Additionally, small conifers that may have been hidden by low shrubs in early stages of succession begin to emerge as the canopy closes. This especially applies to black spruce, which exhibits slow juvenile growth rates. Thus, it may take several years to meet or exceed the height of shrubs [67], which would permit detection on satellite imagery.

6. Conclusion

Our work showed that forest recovery after fire and harvesting appears to be different both because succession does not start at the same development stage, and because fire occurs in environments that are more heterogeneous than harvesting. It is recognized in the literature that, in the case of a severe fire, the forest ecosystem would reinitiate succession, whereas after harvest, the same ecosystem is already in advance from a successional viewpoint due to the low impact of the disturbance on the understory. During the first 20 years following a disturbance, the fire events evolve slowly, while the harvest agglomerations display a much faster succession. The short succession vectors of fires reflect a re-establishment of forest stands with the same species composition. However, the harvested agglomeration trajectories are longer and generally show two patterns of recovery, in which one results in mixed regeneration while the other tendency shows the onset of open landscapes. Although the differences exist early after the disturbances, we cannot assess if differences in future stand

development over the long-term would remain, as we do not have post-disturbance stands older than 30 years. We showed, however, that the post-disturbance vegetation cover observed in fires that mostly burned in mature forested fractions (*i.e.* pre-fire productive forest) achieved closure just as quickly as that observed in the harvested agglomerations. This suggests that the perceived difference in the rapidity of canopy closure after fire compared with that following harvesting is partly attributable to the fact that fires burn in heterogeneous environments in which areas are less productive than those affected by harvesting. Therefore, the recovery problems that are often attributed to a direct effect of the last fire event could be better explained by pre-disturbance conditions. Our results therefore suggest that these factors need to be considered when comparing the forest recovery after fire or harvesting.

Acknowledgements

The original data set was obtained from the MFFPQ (Ministère des Forêts, de la Faune et des Parcs du Québec) and Natural Resources Canada, and we especially thank Julie Fortin (Direction de l'environnement et de la protection des forêts) for providing provincial fire data and André Beaudoin (Canadian Forest Service) for providing satellite imagery. We also thank Mélanie Desrochers for her assistance with GIS, Steve Cumming and Nicolas Mansuy for useful comments, and William F.J. Parsons and Pameal Cheers for English editing. We are most grateful to the Centre d'étude de la forêt (CEF) and Canadian Forest Service staff for logistical support. Le Fonds québécois de la recherche sur la nature et les technologies de Québec (FQRNT) and Université du Québec à Montréal (UQAM) (Bourse d'excellence) provided financial support. We finally thank the reviewers and associate editors for their comments.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Appendixes

Table A1. Grouping of the vegetation cover types based on SIFORT.

Original code*	New code	Designation
DH ME 90	BH	Bare humid
DS	BD	Bare dry
EA	H2O	Water
E 90	BS	Black spruce
BB 90 FI 90 TR 90	ID	Shade-intolerant deciduous
BBR 90 FIPG 90 FIR 90 TRR 90	DeC	Shade-intolerant deciduous with coniferous
BR CH BR 10 CT10	Dist	Disturbance
EPG 90 PG 90 PGE 90	JP	Jack pine
FI 30 FIPG 30 FIR 30 FIR30	RegD	Deciduous regeneration
E 30 EPG 30 PG 30 PGE 30 S 30	RegC	Coniferous regeneration
S 90	BF	Balsam fir

***DH**, Bare humid; **ME**, Larch; **DS**, Bare dry; **EA**, Water; **E**, Black spruce; **BB**, Paper birch; **FI**, Shade intolerant deciduous; **TR**, Trembling aspen; **BBR**, Paper birch, conifers; **FIPG**, Shade-intolerant deciduous, jack pine; **FIR**, Shade-intolerant deciduous, conifers; **TRR**, Trembling aspen, conifers; **BR**, Burned; **CH**, Windthrow; **CT**, Clearcut; **EPG**, Spruce, jack pine; **PG**, Jack pine; **PGE**, Jack pine, spruce; **FIPG**, Shade-intolerant deciduous, jack pine; **S**, Balsam fir. The numbers 10, 30, and 90 correspond to the ages. (Source: SIFORT).

Table A2. Grouping of the surficial deposits based on SIFORT.

Surficial deposit codes	Designation
R; RLA; R7; R7T; RAA; RS, M1A; 1AR	Rocky outcrop
1AM;	Till
1AA; 1AAM	Cochrane till
2A; 2AE; 2AK; 2BE; 3AN, 4GS, 5S, 6S, 9S	Sand type
5A; 4A	Mesic clay
4GA4	Sub-hydric clay
7E; 7T	Poorly drained and organic soil

Table A3. Successional stages based on land cover types from satellite imagery and mean relative occupancy (%) of each land cover type by disturbance type.

EOSD Class	Successional stages	Designation	Code	Fire event	Harvested agglomerati
1	Others	Shadow	Shd	1,5	0.3
2		Clouds	Cld	2.4	1.3
9	Unproductive and non-forested land types	Water	H2O	1.9	2.3
5		Exposed land	ExL	0.7	1.1
19		Lichens	Lic	4.0	0.3
20		Moss and rock	MoRoc	1.6	0.4
24		Wetland with herbs	HbWl	0.8	0.4
18		Herb (perennial crops, pasture, fallow) grassland	Herb	-	0.9
31		Coniferous woodland with lichen	CwLi	0.3	0.1
32		Coniferous woodland with moss	Cwmo	2.3	1.5
45		Coniferous woodland with shrubs	CwSh	0.4	2.8
7		Burn	B	36.8	0.2
8	Stand initiation Stage 0	Harvested	H	-	3.8
15		Post-fire regeneration	PBr	20.9	1.1
16		Post-harvest regeneration	PHr	5.8	4.0
14		Low shrubs	LSh	4.0	1.0
10	Early regeneration Stage 1	Tall shrubs	TSh	1.0	1.0
11		Coniferous regeneration	CfRg	0.5	3.3
12		Deciduous regeneration	DcRg	0.2	4.5
48		Dense young deciduous	DyDc	-	1.6
40		Open mixed coniferous tendency	OmCf	0.7	3.4
41		Open mixed deciduous and coniferous tendency	OmDcCf	0.2	0.7
26		Young coniferous	YCf	-	0.4
23		Wetland with shrubs	WlSh	1.9	2.5
13	Stem exclusion Stage 2	Mixed regeneration	MRg	5.5	23.0
33		Dense deciduous	DeDc	-	3.0
34		Open deciduous	ODc	0.6	3.4
36		Dense mixed deciduous tendency	DmDc	-	4.4
38		Dense mixed deciduous with coniferous tendency	DmDcCf	0.1	0.5
39		Open mixed deciduous tendency	OmDc	1.4	4.1

Table A3. Cont.

EOSD Class	Successional stages	Designation	Code	Fire event	Harvested agglomerati
22		Wetland with tall shrubs and trees	WITr	4.5	3.7
25		Dense coniferous mature	DCfmat	0.8	1.6
27	Coniferous	Medium coniferous cover with moss	MCfmo	2.2	1.7
28	stands	Medium coniferous cover with	MCfli	0.3	0.2
29	Stage 3	Open coniferous with lichen	OCfli	0.5	0.3
30		Open coniferous with moss	OCfmo	3.7	4.5
37		Dense mixed coniferous tendency	DmCf	0.6	10.7

Table A4. Post-disturbance types of land cover composition used.

Unproductive and non-forested land types	Recently disturbed	Coniferous	Deciduous
Water	Burn	Tall shrubs	Mixed regeneration
Exposed land	Harvest	Coniferous regeneration	Dense deciduous
Lichens	Post-fire regeneration	Open mixed coniferous tendency	Open deciduous
Moss and rock	Post-harvest regeneration	Young coniferous	Dense mixed deciduous tendency
Wetland with herbs	Low shrubs	Wetland with shrubs	Dense mixed deciduous with coniferous tendency
Herb (perennial crops, pasture, fallow, grassland)		Wetland with tall shrubs and trees	Open mixed deciduous tendency
Coniferous woodland with lichen		Dense coniferous mature	Deciduous regeneration
Coniferous woodland with moss		Medium coniferous cover with moss	Dense young deciduous
Coniferous woodland with shrubs		Medium coniferous cover with lichen	Open mixed deciduous and coniferous tendency
		Open coniferous with lichen	
		Open coniferous with moss	
		Dense mixed coniferous tendency	




Figure A1. PCA showing the post-disturbance of the land cover types from images of 22 fire events and 14 harvested agglomerations. Each cover type was described using its relative proportion in the disturbed area in the PCA **(a)** Scores: f = fires; c = harvests. First digit = number of disturbances; the second one = time since the last disturbance. **(b)** Loadings (land cover types). MCfmo (medium coniferous cover with moss), DCfmat (dense coniferous mature), OmDc (open mixed deciduous tendency), OCfmo (open coniferous with moss), Cwmo (coniferous woodland with moss), OmDcCf (open mixed deciduous and coniferous tendency), LSh (low shrubs), DmDcCf (dense mixed deciduous and coniferous tendency), OmCf (open mixed coniferous tendency), ODc (open deciduous), DmDf (dense mixed coniferous tendency), H2O (water), BpBrHpHr (burn, post-burn, harvest and post-harvest), DmDc (dense mixed

deciduous tendency), CwLi (coniferous woodland with lichen), MRg (mixed regeneration), CfRg (coniferous regeneration), DeDc (dense deciduous), MoRoc (moss and rock), ExL (exposed land), DyDc (dense young deciduous), TSh (tall shrubs), Herb (perennial crops, pasture, fallow, grassland), Lic (lichens), DcRg (deciduous regeneration), WiSh (wetland with shrubs). Note that the recent disturbances of fires and harvests (BpBrHpHr) deviate from the rest of the disturbed types.

References

- Bergeron, Y.; Engelmark, O.; Harvey, B.; Morin, H.; Sirois, L. Key issues in disturbance dynamics in boreal forests. *J. Veg. Sci.* **1998**, *9*, 463–610.
- Johnson, E.A. *Fire and Vegetation Dynamics: Studies from the North American Boreal Forest*; Cambridge University Press: New York, USA, 1992.
- Payette, S. Fire as a controlling process in the North American boreal forest. In *Systems Analysis of the Global Boreal Forest*; Shugart, H.H., Leemans, R., Eds.; Cambridge University Press: Cambridge, UK, 1992; pp. 144–169.
- Heinselman, M.L. Fire in the virgin forest of the Boundary Waters Canoe Area, Minnesota. *Quat. Res.* **1973**, *3*, 329–382.
- Bergeron, Y. The influence of island and mainland lakeshore landscapes on boreal forest-fire regimes. *Ecology* **1991**, *72*, 1980–1992.
- Imbeau, L.; Monkkonen, M.; Desrochers, A. Long-term effects of forestry on birds of the eastern Canadian boreal forests: A comparison with Fennoscandia. *Conserv. Biol.* **2001**, *15*, 1151–1162.
- Schroeder, D.; Perera, A.H. A comparison of large-scale spatial vegetation patterns following clearcuts and fires in Ontario's boreal forests. *For. Ecol. Manag.* **2002**, *159*, 217–230.
- Perron, N.; Bélanger, L.; Vaillancourt, M.A. Spatial structure of forest stands and remnants under fire and timber harvesting regimes. In *Ecosystem Management in the Boreal Forest*; Gauthier, S., Vaillancourt, M.A., Eds.; Les Presses de l'Université du Québec: Québec, Canada, 2009; pp. 129–154.
- Stinson, G.; Kurz, W.A.; Smyth, C.E.; Neilson, E.T.; Dymond, C.C.; Metsaranta, J.M.; Boisvenue, C.; Rampley, G.J.; Li, Q.; White, T.M.; et al. An inventory-based analysis of Canada's managed forest carbon dynamics, 1990 to 2008. *Global Chang. Biol.* **2011**, *17*, 2227–2244.
- Bergeron, Y.; Harvey, B.; Leduc, A.; Gauthier, S. Stratégies d'aménagement forestier qui s'inspirent de la dynamique des perturbations naturelles: considérations à l'échelle du peuplement et de la forêt. *For. Chron.* **1999**, *75*, 55–61.
- McRae, D.J.; Duchesne, L.C.; Freedman, B.; Lynham, T.J. Woodley S. Comparisons between wildfire and forest harvesting and their implications in forest management. *Environ. Rev.* **2001**, *9*, 223–260.
- Sirois, L. Impact of fire on *Picea mariana* and *Pinus banksiana* seedlings in subarctic lichen woodlands. *J. Veg. Sci.* **1993**, *6*, 795–802.
- St-Pierre, H.; Gagnon, R.; Bellefleur, P. Régénération après feu de l'épinette noire (*Picea mariana*) et du pin gris (*Pinus banksiana*) dans la forêt boréale, Québec. *Can. J. For. Res.* **1992**, *22*, 474–481.

14. Greene, D.F.; Johnson, E.A. Modelling recruitment of *Populus tremuloides*, *Pinus banksiana*, and *Picea mariana* following fire in the mixedwood boreal forest. *Can. J. For. Res.* **1999**, *29*, 462–473.
15. Greene, D.F.; Noel, J.; Bergeron, Y.; Rousseau, M.; Gauthier, S. Recruitment of *Picea mariana*, *Pinus banksiana*, and *Populus tremuloides* across a burn severity gradient following wildfire in the southern boreal forest of Quebec. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2004**, *34*, 1845–1857.
16. Ilisson, T.; Chen, H.Y.H. The direct regeneration hypothesis in northern forests. *J. Veg. Sci.* **2009**, *20*, 735–744.
17. Harvey, B.D.; Bergeron, Y. Site patterns of natural regeneration following clear-cutting in Northwestern Quebec. *Can. J. For. Res.* **1989**, *19*, 1458–1469.
18. Jasinski, J.P.P.; Payette, S. The creation of alternative stable states in the southern boreal forest, Québec, Canada. *Ecol. Monogr.* **2005**, *75*, 561–583.
19. Lecomte, N.; Simard, M.; Asselin, H.; Nappi, A.; Noël, J.; Bergeron, Y. *Similarities and Differences between Harvesting and Wildfire Induced Disturbances in Fire Mediated Canadian Landscapes*; Technical Report Bulletin No. 924; National Council for Air and Stream Improvement: Québec, QC, Canada, 2006; p. 61.
20. Mansuy, N.; Gauthier, S.; Robitaille, A.; Bergeron, Y. Regional patterns of postfire canopy recovery in the northern boreal forest of Quebec: Interactions between surficial deposit, climate, and fire cycle. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2012**, *42*, 1328–1343.
21. Van Bogaert, R.; Gauthier, S.; Drobyshev, I.; Jayen, K.; Greene, D.F.; Bergeron, Y. Prolonged absence of disturbance associated with increased environmental stress may lead to reduced seedbank size in *Picea mariana* in boreal eastern North America. *Ecosystems* **2015**, doi:10.1007/s10021-015-9888-3.
22. Van Bogaert, R.; Gauthier, S.; Raulier, F.; Saucier, J.-P.; Boucher, D.; Robitaille, A.; Bergeron, Y. Exploring forest productivity at an early age after fire: A case study at the northern limit of commercial forests in Quebec. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2015**, *45*, 579–593, doi:10.1139/cjfr-2014-0273.
23. Harvey, B.D.; Brais, S. Effects of mechanized careful logging on natural regeneration and vegetation competition in the southeastern Canadian boreal forest. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2002**, *32*, 653–666.
24. Jobidon, R.; Groot, A.; Nguyen, T.; Jetté, J.P.; Gauthier, G.; Pothier, D.; Ruel, J.C.; De Grandpré, L.; Bégin, L.; Beaupré, P. *Coupe avec Protection des Petites tiges Marchandes (CPPTM)*; Avis scientifique. Comité consultatif scientifique du Manuel d'aménagement forestier: Québec, QC, Canada, 2002; p. 146.
25. Lecomte, N. *La Dynamique des espèces et des Peuplements après feu dans la Pessière de la Partie nord de la Ceinture D'argile*; Université du Québec à Montréal: Montreal, QC, Canada, 2005; p. 172.
26. Cyr, D.; Gauthier, S.; Bergeron, Y. Scale-dependent determinants of heterogeneity in fire frequency in a coniferous boreal forest of eastern Canada. *Landscape Ecol.* **2007**, *22*, 1325–1339.
27. Mansuy, N.; Gauthier, S.; Robitaille, A.; Bergeron, Y. The effects of surficial deposit-drainage combinations on spatial variations of fire cycles in the boreal forest of eastern Canada. *Int. J. Wildland Fire* **2010**, *19*, 1083–1098.

28. Greene, D.F.; Kneeshaw, D.D.; Messier, C. Lieffers, V.; Cormier, D.; Doucet, R.; Coates, K.D.; Groot, A.; Grover, G.; Calogeropoulos, C. Modelling silvicultural alternatives for conifer regeneration in boreal mixedwood stands (aspen/white spruce/balsam fir). *For. Chron.* **2002**, *78*, 281–295.
29. Lafleur, B.; Paré, D.; Fenton, N.J.; Bergeron, Y. Do harvest methods and soil type impact on the regeneration and growth of black spruce stands of northwestern Quebec? *Can. J. For. Res.* **2010**, *40*, 1843–1851.
30. Wulder, M.A.; White, J.C.; Masek, J.G.; Dwyer, J.; Roy, D.P. Continuity of Landsat observations: Short term considerations. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2011**, *115*, 747–751.
31. Valeria, O.; Laamrani, A.; Beaudoin, A. Monitoring the state of a large boreal forest region in eastern Canada through the use of multitemporal classified satellite imagery. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* **2012**, *38*, 91–108.
32. Frazier, R.J.; Coops, N.C.; Wulder, M.A.; Kennedy, R. Characterization of aboveground biomass in an unmanaged boreal forest using Landsat temporal segmentation metrics. *ISPRS J. Photogramm. Remote Sens.* **2014**, *92*, 137–146.
33. Healey, S.P.; Cohen, W.B.; Zhigiang, Y.; Krankina, O.N. Comparison of tasseled cap-based Landsat data structures for use in forest disturbance detection. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2005**, *97*, 301–310.
34. Kennedy, R.E.; Yang, Z.; Cohen, W.B. Detecting trends in forest disturbance and recovery using yearly Landsat time series: 1. LandTrendr—Temporal segmentation algorithms. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2010**, *114*, 2897–2910.
35. Bolton, D.K.; Coops, N.C.; Wulder, M.A. Characterizing residual structure and forest recovery following high-severity fire in the western boreal of Canada using Landsat time-series and airborne lidar data. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2015**, *163*, 48–60.
36. Wulder, M.A.; Hall, R.J.; Coops, N.C.; Franklin, S.E. High spatial resolution remotely sensed data for ecosystem characterization. *BioScience* **2004**, *54*, 511–521.
37. Boyd, D.; Danson, F. Satellite remote sensing of forest resources: Three decades of research development. *Prog. Phys. Geog.* **2005**, *29*, 1–26.
38. Banskota, A.; Kayastha, N.M.; Falkowski, J.; Wulder, M.A.; Froese, R.E.; White, J.C. Forest monitoring using landsat time series data: A review. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* **2014**, *40*, 362–384.
39. Uuemaa, E.; Mander, Ü. Marja, R. Trends in the use of landscape spatial metrics as landscape indicators: A review. *Ecol. Indic.* **2013**, *28*, 100–106.
40. Saucier, J.P.; Bergeron, J.F.; Grondin, P.; Robitaille, A. Les régions écologiques du Québec méridional (3e version): Un des éléments du système hiérarchique de classification écologique du territoire mis au point par le ministère des Ressources naturelles du Québec. *L'Aubelle* **1998**, *124*, S1–S12.
41. Robitaille, A.; Saucier, J.P. *Paysages régionaux du Québec méridional. Direction de la gestion des stocks forestiers et Direction des relations publiques, Ministère des Ressources naturelles du Québec*; Les Publications du Québec: Québec, Canada, 1998.
42. Payette, S.; Rochefort, L. *Écologie des Tourbières du Québec-Labrador*; Les Presses de l'Université Laval: Québec, Canada, 2001.

43. Pelletier, G.; Dumont, Y.; Bédard, M. *SIFORT: Système d'Information FORestière par Tesselle, Manuel de l'utilisateur*; Ministère des Ressources naturelles et de la Faune du Québec: Québec, QC, Canada, 2007.
44. Wulder, M.A.; White, J.C.; Cranny, M.; Hall, R.J.; Luther, J.E.; Beaudoin, A.; Goodenough, D.G.; Dechka, J.A. Monitoring Canada's forests. Part 1: Completion of the EOSD land cover project. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* **2008**, *34*, 549–584.
45. Valeria, O.; Laamrani, A.; Beaudoin, A.; Côté, S.; Simard, G. *Rapport de l'état de la forêt en Abitibi-Témiscamingue et au Nord-du-Québec à l'aide d'outils de Télédétection. Rapport de recherche*. Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue et Ressources naturelles Canada; Rouyn-Noranda: Québec, QC, Canada, 2008; p. 91. Available online: http://abitibiwatch.uqat.ca/information_links/Abitibiwatch-oct-2008.pdf (accessed on 13 September 2013)
46. McCune, B.; Grace, J.B.; Urban, D.L. *Analysis of Ecological Communities*; MjM Software, Gleneden Beach: Oregon, USA, 2002; p. 300.
47. Sader, S.A.; Bertrand, M.; Wilson, E.H. Satellite change detection of forest harvest patterns on an industrial forest landscape. *For. Sci.* **2003**, *49*, 341–353.
48. Goetz, S.J.; Fiske, G.J.; Bunn, A.G. Using satellite time-series data sets to analyze fire disturbance and forest recovery across Canada. *Remote Sens. Environ.* **2006**, *101*, 352–365.
49. Ireland, G.; Petropoulos, G.P. Exploring the relationships between post-fire vegetation regeneration dynamics, topography and burn severity: A case study from the Montane Cordillera ecozones of Western Canada. *Appl. Geogr.* **2015**, *56*, 232–248.
50. Fuller, R.M.; Smith, G.M.; Devereux, B.J. The characterisation and measurement of land cover change through remote sensing: Problems in operational applications? *Int. J. Appl. Earth Obs. Geoinf.* **2003**, *4*, 243–253.
51. Wulder, M.A.; LeDrew, E.F.; Franklin, S.E.; Lavigne, M.B. Aerial image texture information in the estimation of northern deciduous and mixed wood forest leaf area index (LAI). *Remote Sens. Environ.* **1998**, *64*, 64–76.
52. Wolter, P.T.; Mladenoff, P.T.; Host, G.E.; Crow, T.R. Improved forest classification in the northern lake states using multi-temporal Landsat imagery. *Photogramm. Eng. Remote Sens.* **1995**, *61*, 1129–1143.
53. Gerylo, G.; Hall, R.; Franklin, S.; Smith, L. Empirical relations between Landsat TM spectral response and forest stands near Fort Simpson, Northwest Territories, Canada. *Can. J. Remote Sens.* **2002**, 68–79.
54. Moskal, L.M.; Franklin, S.E. Multilayer forest stand discrimination with spatial co-occurrence texture analysis of high spatial detail airborne imagery. *Geocarto Int.* **2002**, *17*, 55–68.
55. Benz, U.C.; Hofmann, P.; Willhauck, G.; Lingenfelder, I.; Heynen, M. Multi-resolution, object-oriented fuzzy analysis of remote sensing data for GIS-ready information. *ISPRS J. Photogrammetry Remote Sens.* **2004**, *58*, 239–258.
56. Qin, Y.; Niu, Z.; Chen, F.; Li, B.; Ban, Y. Object-based land cover change detection for cross-sensor images. *Int. J. Remote Sens.* **2013**, *34*, 6723–6737.
57. Van Wagner, C.E. Age-class distribution and the forest fire cycle. *Can. J. For. Res.* **1978**, *8*, 220–227.

58. Heinselman, M.L. Fire and succession in the conifer forests of northern North America. In *Forest Succession: Concepts and Application*; West, D.C., Shugart, H.H., Eds.; Springer-Verlag: New York, NY, USA, 1981; pp. 374–405.
59. Pickett, S.T.A.; White, P.S. Natural disturbance and patch dynamics: An introduction. In *The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics*; Pickett, S.T.A., White, P.S., Eds.; Academic Press: Orlando, FL, USA, 1985; pp. 3–13.
60. Madoui, A.; Leduc, A.; Gauthier, S.; Bergeron, Y. Spatial pattern analyses of post-fire residual stands in the black spruce boreal forest of western Quebec. *Int. J. Wildland Fire* **2010**, *19*, 1110–1126.
61. Gauthier, S.; De Grandpré, L.; Bergeron, Y. Differences in forest composition in two boreal forest ecoregions of Quebec. *J. Veg. Sci.* **2000**, *11*, 781–790.
62. Chen, H.Y.H.; Popadiouk, R.V. Dynamics of North American mixedwood forests. *Environ. Rev.* **2002**, *10*, 137–166.
63. Harper, K.A.; Bergeron, Y.; Gauthier, S.; Drapeau, P. Post-fire development of canopy structure and composition in black spruce forests of Abitibi, Québec: A landscape scale study. *Silva Fenn.* **2002**, *36*, 249–263.
64. Harvey, B.D. Possibilités d'application de la classification écologique pour l'aménagement forestier dans le canton d'Hébertcourt, Abitibi. Master's Thesis, Université du Québec à Montréal, Montreal, Québec, QC, Canada, 1987; p. 68.
65. Nguyen-Xuan, T.; Bergeron, Y.; Simard, D.; Fyles, J.W.; Paré, D. The importance of forest floor disturbance in the early regeneration patterns of the boreal forest of western and central Quebec: A wildfire versus logging comparison. *Can. J. For. Res.* **2000**, *30*, 1353–1364.
66. Carleton, T.J.; Maycock, P.F. Dynamics of the boreal forest south of James Bay. *Can. J. Bot.* **1978**, *56*, 1157–1173.
67. Ahlgren, C.E. Effects of fires on temperate forests: North Central United States. In *Fire and Ecosystems*; Kozlowski, T.T., Ed.; Academic Press: New York, NY, USA, 1972; pp. 195–223.