



BIBLIOTHÈQUE

CÉGEP DE L'ABITIBI-TÉMISCAMINGUE
UNIVERSITÉ DU QUÉBEC EN ABITIBI-TÉMISCAMINGUE

Mise en garde

La bibliothèque du Cégep de l'Abitibi-Témiscamingue et de l'Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue (UQAT) a obtenu l'autorisation de l'auteur de ce document afin de diffuser, dans un but non lucratif, une copie de son œuvre dans [Depositum](#), site d'archives numériques, gratuit et accessible à tous. L'auteur conserve néanmoins ses droits de propriété intellectuelle, dont son droit d'auteur, sur cette œuvre.

Warning

The library of the Cégep de l'Abitibi-Témiscamingue and the Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue (UQAT) obtained the permission of the author to use a copy of this document for nonprofit purposes in order to put it in the open archives [Depositum](#), which is free and accessible to all. The author retains ownership of the copyright on this document.

Effect of soil quality and planting material on the root architecture and the root anchorage of young hybrid poplar plantations on waste rock slopes

Khadija Babi^{1,}, Marie Guittonny¹, Bruno Bussière¹, Guy R. Larocque²*

¹Research Institute on Mines and the Environment, Université du Québec en Abitibi-Témiscamingue, 445, boul. de l'Université, Rouyn-Noranda, QC J9X 5E4, Canada

² Natural Resources Canada, Canadian Forest Service, Laurentian Forestry Centre, 1055 du P.E.P.S., P.O. Box 10380, Stn. Sainte-Foy, Quebec G1V 4C7.

*Khadija.babi@uqat.ca

Abstract

Tree planting can facilitate the rehabilitation of forested landscapes on mine waste rocks. However, because of their physical and chemical nature, waste rocks are difficult to revegetate and can restrict tree root growth. On waste rock slopes, trees with inadequate root development could be prone to uprooting. The anchorage of trees is mainly determined by the architecture of the root systems that drive their mechanical interactions with the soil. The success of tree planting can be improved if performed after spreading soil over waste rock slopes. However, there is limited knowledge on the influence of soil quality on tree root development and stability. The objective of this study was to evaluate the effects of soil quality (50 cm of topsoil versus 40 cm of mineral soil + 10 cm of topsoil) and of different planting materials (whips, cuttings, and bareroots) on the architecture and resistance to shear stress of root systems of hybrid poplars four years after they were initially planted. The study was conducted in an open-pit-gold mine located in the boreal forest of Malartic, Quebec, Canada. A hybrid poplar plantation was established in 2013 on 33% soil-covered waste rock slopes, using a randomized complete block design, i.e. 3 replicated blocks × 3 planting materials × 2 soil qualities. During the fourth growing season, the stability of the hybrid poplars (resistance to uprooting) was evaluated using lateral traction tests. Complete excavations were performed to characterize their coarse root

(> 4 mm) architecture. Results showed no significant differences between treatments in terms of the maximum resistance force to uprooting, which varied between 7142 and 8989 N. After four growing seasons, no significant effects due to soil quality or planting material were observed in the number of lateral roots, mean root diameter, root biomass, aboveground biomass, and shoot/root ratio. The maximum height and basal diameter also did not differ among treatments. These findings indicate that the coarse root architecture of the planted trees and their associated root anchorage were not affected, in a four-years-old plantation of hybrid poplars, by soil quality or planting material.

Keywords: mine site revegetation, tree planting, coarse root development, uprooting tests, topsoil, overburden.

1 **1. Introduction**

2 In forested areas, the revegetation of mine sites after closure often involves planting trees
3 on waste storage facilities that need to remain geotechnically stable over long periods of
4 time. The resistance of trees to uprooting is, therefore, important to ensuring the stability
5 of these waste facilities, which are often exposed to strong winds, particularly on slopes.
6 Metal mining generates large volumes of solid wastes, and in particular, waste rocks, which
7 consist of the uneconomic material that is extracted to reach the ore body. Waste rocks are
8 usually stored at the surface in the form of piles of several tens of meters high called waste
9 rock piles (Aubertin *et al.*, 2002). Typically, these structures are difficult to revegetate due
10 to their physical and chemical characteristics (Mench *et al.*, 2003); specifically, waste rock
11 piles lack the proper physical structures, nutrients, organic matter (OM), and
12 microorganisms to support plant growth (Burger and Zipper, 2002; Tordoff *et al.* 2000).
13 The geometry of sites can also be a challenge for establishment of vegetation, especially
14 where there are higher angle slopes and overall elevations.

15 To facilitate their revegetation, waste rock piles are usually covered with soil layers. Soil
16 quality (in particular organic matter concentration) is an important determinant of tree
17 growth (Zipper *et al.*, 2011), and especially root growth. Therefore, when available, topsoil
18 (i.e. A horizon) is used to improve soil productivity and biological functionality (Tordoff
19 *et al.*, 2000). However, the quantity of topsoil available at mine sites can be limited. In
20 these cases, topsoils may be replaced by or combined with mineral soils, which are low in
21 OM content and have poorer fertility, but are available in greater quantities.

22 Hybrid poplar plantations on soil-covered waste rock slopes have previously shown good
23 survival and growth rates (Babi *et al.*, 2019; Remaury *et al.* 2019; Larchevêque *et al.* 2014;
24 Casselman *et al.*, 2006; McGill *et al.*, 2004; Clark Ashby, 1995). However, hybrid poplars
25 are sensitive to changes in environmental conditions and known to respond to variations in
26 the availability of nutrients and water in the soil depending on the plantation design (Babi
27 *et al.*, 2019; Zandalinas *et al.*, 2018; Dickmann, 2001). Thus, these fast-growing trees can
28 be used as model plants to study the establishment of trees on mine tailings, including the
29 effect of factors related to the design of plantations on the root development of trees and
30 their stability.

31 Root systems provide both physiological and mechanical functions for plants. The
32 absorption of water and nutrients is primarily performed by fine roots ($d < 2$ mm), whereas
33 coarse roots ($d > 2$ mm) provide anchorage for the tree (Gyssels et al. 2005; James et al.,
34 2006; Stokes *et al.*, 2005). Coarse root architecture is essentially represented by the spatial
35 configuration of the roots (Gregory, 2006) and plays a major role in the root anchorage of
36 the tree, wherein stability is defined as the capacity of a tree's root system to resist uprooting
37 (Khuder *et al.* 2007; Stokes et al. 2007). The resistance force of a tree varies with its root
38 architecture (Bell *et al.*, 1991). Moreover, the angles between roots, the number of roots,
39 root diameters, root system symmetry, and root depths are all known to have significant
40 impacts on anchorage and tree stability (Garrett et al, 2009; Gregory 2006; Nicoll et al.,
41 2006; Godin 2000; Godin et al. 1999; Ruel, 1995; Fitter 1991; Harper et al.1991). Despite
42 the importance of these parameters, relatively few belowground investigations have been
43 conducted to evaluate the effects of planting design on root development, especially in the
44 context of revegetation of waste rock slopes.

45 Although root system architectures are usually genetically predetermined (Kano-Nakata et
46 al., 2019; Das and Chaturvedi, 2008), environmental factors, including soil texture
47 (Drénou, 2006) and structure, can also affect root distribution (Lebourgeois and Jabiol,
48 2002; Coutts et al. 1999. For example, Moore (2000) showed that the mechanical resistance
49 of pine depended on soil quality, with trees growing on clay soils showing greater
50 maximum resistances to bending moments than those growing in non-cohesive soils. Root
51 growth has been shown to increase with the presence of pores, thus allowing for balanced
52 water storage and air transmission in the soil (Dexter, 2004; Oades, 1984), and root
53 distribution was shown to depend on the content of OM and nutrients in the soil (Sainju
54 and Good, 1993). Roots develop more (number, length, and diameter) in environments
55 where water and nutrients are abundant (Hutchings and John, 2003). Organic matter
56 influences soil structure by increasing total porosity (Tejada and Gonzalez, 2003; Marinari
57 *et al.*, 2000), which can result in an increased water retention capacity (Celik *et al.*, 2004;
58 Khaleel *et al.*, 1981). Root density is generally positively related to OM content (Strong
59 and La Roi, 1985), therefore, trees may be more stable in soils richer in OM where root
60 development would otherwise not be optimal. In fine-textured soils, root systems are
61 generally denser and comprised of smaller roots because they are more able to penetrate

62 into cracks (Nagarajah, 1987; Lévy, 1968). In coarse-textured soils roots are usually longer
63 and more numerous (Nagarajah, 1987; Lévy, 1968). The depth and colonization intensity
64 of the rooting zone also depends on the physical constraints of the soil (Curt et al., 2001),
65 which restrict root elongation and can modify the root architecture of the plant (Ludovici,
66 2004) as well as its stability.

67 Planting material choice is an integral part of plantation establishment (Davis et al., 2010;
68 Burdett, 1990). The type of planting material can affect aboveground tree growth,
69 physiology, and survival (Desrochers and Tremblay, 2009; Jutras *et al.* 2007; Mohammed
70 *et al.* 2001), and thus the overall success of plantations (e.g. Johansson et al., 2007).
71 Nonetheless, the influence of planting material on root development and tree anchorage
72 still requires further research. In Québec, Canada, planting materials of large sizes are
73 generally used to produce hybrid poplars and to overcome weed competition for light
74 (Réseau Ligniculture Québec, 2011). Materials such as bareroot seedlings, cuttings, and
75 whips can be considered as viable options. Each type of material has advantages and
76 disadvantages (Balleux and Van Lerberghe, 2001) (supplementary materials) according to
77 differences in size as well as imbalances in the shoot/root ratio, which influences the water
78 budget and, therefore, the aboveground as well as belowground growth and survival of
79 trees (Grossnickle, 2005).

80 The main objective of the present study was to check whether topsoil could be saved by
81 combining it with mineral soil, while allowing an adequate rooting and anchorage of trees,
82 for differing planting materials in a hybrid poplar plantation on waste rock slopes (3H:1V,
83 33%) covered with 50 cm of soil. More specifically, this study examines the effects of
84 using different planting materials (whip, cuttings, and bareroot) and soil qualities (50 cm
85 of topsoil or 40 cm of mineral soil + 10cm of topsoil) on survival rates, aboveground
86 growth, root architecture and mechanical resistance to uprooting of planted trees four years
87 after planting. Lateral traction tests of hybrid poplars were conducted until uprooting as
88 well as a complete excavation method to relate anchorage to the root architectural
89 characteristics. The study is based on the following hypotheses:

90

- 91 i) Only bareroots seedlings have roots at planting. Therefore, the growth and
92 survival of trees will be highest for bareroots.
- 93 ii) The growth and survival of hybrid poplars will decrease when the topsoil is
94 combined with mineral soils as compared to using topsoil only.
- 95 iii) The root architecture of unrooted plants (cuttings and whips) will be less
96 developed (number and diameter of roots; maximum rooting depth) than rooted
97 plants (bareroots) and its development will increase with the diameter of the
98 planting material (bareroots > whips > cuttings). Therefore, the resistance to
99 uprooting of cuttings will be the lowest.
- 100 iv) Higher topsoil quantities will foster more developed root systems (in terms of
101 number, length, and diameter of roots), thus, allowing for higher resistances to
102 uprooting forces.

103

104 **2. Materials and methods**

105 *2.1. Site description*

106 The study site was located at the Canadian Malartic mine in the Abitibi-Temiscamingue
107 region of Quebec, Canada (48° 08' 00" N 78° 08' 00" W). Canadian Malartic is a an open
108 pit gold mine (average grade 1g/t) that exploits an orebody a rate of approximately
109 55,000t/day. The ore to waste rock ratio at the mine is typically between 2 to 4, and thus
110 mining operations at Canadian Malartic generate significant quantities of solid wastes.

111 The forest vegetation that surrounds the site is mainly comprised of stands of black spruce
112 (*Picea mariana*), jack pine (*Pinus banksiana*), and larch (*Larix* spp.) mixed with white
113 birch (*Betula papyrifera*) and trembling aspen (*Populus tremuloides*). The mean annual air
114 temperature is 1.5 °C and the mean annual precipitation is approximately 930 mm
115 (Government of Canada, 2015). The average length of the growing season ranges between
116 120 and 130 days and the mean number of frost-free days is 97 (Agriculture and Agri-Food
117 Canada, 2014).

118 *2.2. Experimental design*

119 The experimental design, shown in Figure 1, included eighteen (18) experimental plots
120 organized in a randomized complete block design that included three replication blocks
121 and two tested factors (2×3 factorial design): 1) three plant types (whips, bareroots, and
122 cuttings of the hybrid poplar MxB 915318); and 2) two substrates (50 cm of topsoil versus
123 40 cm of mineral soil + 10 cm of topsoil). Each of the eighteen experimental plots contained
124 25 trees (pseudoreplicates) with a spacing of 2×2 m. The plots were separated by 4-m-
125 wide buffer zones. Two lines of fast-growing willows (*Salix miyabeana* Seemen, clone
126 Sx64) were planted in the upper half to limit soil erosion and water run-off.

127 2.3. *Planting material and growing conditions*

128 The experimental plots were established in May 2013 on 3H:1V (33% or 18°) slopes of
129 mine waste rocks covered with 50 cm of soil. The topsoil was a Grey Luvisol soil
130 (Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2010) from a swampy area that was located above the
131 actual open pit. The topsoil consisted of the O and A horizons; i.e., the first 30 cm, which
132 were dark in color and rich in organic matter ($\sim 20\%$ OM content). The mineral soil
133 consisted of the remaining sandy clay that was excavated down to the bedrock after the
134 overburden topsoil had been removed. The soil texture was composed of 42% clay
135 particles, 27% silt particles, and 31% sand particles, and contained $\sim 1\%$ OM. The topsoil
136 and mineral soil were stored for 30-36 months before use in 7-m-high piles with a slope of
137 2.5:1. One composite sample (consisting of two samples per plot; 0-10 cm depth) was used
138 for chemical characterization during planting (May 2013). Soil nutrient analyses were
139 conducted on sieved (2 mm mesh), finely ground, oven-dried samples (50°C) by the
140 Lakehead University Centre for Analytical Services (Thunder Bay, Ontario, Canada). Total
141 nitrogen (N) and sulphur (S) were determined by the Dumas combustion method (CNS
142 2000, LECO Corporation, Mississauga, ON), and organic carbon (C) was determined using
143 the thermogravimetric method (LECO TGA, Mississauga, Ontario). A conversion factor
144 of 1.72 was used to convert organic carbon content to organic matter content (Nelson and
145 Sommers 1982). Following an HNO_3 -HCl digestion, bulk P, K, Ca, Mg, Na, Al, As, B, Cd,
146 Co, Cr, Cu, Fe, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sr, and Zn concentrations were determined by inductively
147 coupled plasma atomic emission spectrometry (ICP-AES, Vista PRO, Varian Canada,
148 Mississauga, ON). Available phosphorous (P) was determined colorimetrically on sodium
149 bicarbonate extracts of the soils (Olsen 1954). Bulk pH was determined from saturated soil

150 pastes, while soil electrical conductivity was determined in a 1:2 (soil:water) mixture. Soil
151 texture was determined using the hydrometer method (Bouyoucos, 1962) (supplementary
152 materials). All measured soil and waste rock metal concentrations were below relevant
153 regulatory thresholds.

154 A physical characterization of the same soil (topsoil and mineral soil) was determined in
155 other studies (Larchevêque et al., 2016; Larchevêque and Pednault, 2016; Larchevêque et
156 al. 2014). Briefly, these studies showed a greater macroporosity (15-20%) and lower
157 density in the topsoil ($0.7-0.9 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$) relative to the mineral soil (macroporosity: 12-16%;
158 density: $1.1-1.2 \text{ g}\cdot\text{cm}^{-3}$). Both the topsoil and mineral soil were found to have
159 macroporosities above the 10% threshold that would allow root growth (Archer and Smith,
160 1972). The density of the two soils is also adequate for root growth (Schuuman, 1965).

161 The planting material used in this study was a semi-exotic hybrid poplar clone (*Populus*
162 *maximowiczii* A. Henry \times *Populus balsamifera* L. (M \times B) - clone 915318), locally
163 produced by the Quebec Ministry of Energy and Natural Resources (MERN). This poplar
164 selected was based on its rapid growth and establishment from vegetative material.

165 Three planting materials were used (Figure 2): bareroot plants (mean length above ground
166 = 131 cm and mean diameter = 11 mm); whip plants (mean length above ground= 93 cm
167 and mean diameter = 15 mm), and cuttings (mean length above ground= 33 cm and mean
168 diameter = 11 mm). Whips and cuttings are generally one year-old shoots, while bareroot
169 plants are produced from 12-15 cm cuttings that are grown in the field for one growing
170 season. The mean lengths and diameters of the planting materials were initial
171 measurements taken just after planting. These measurements exclude the 20-30 cm of
172 stems/roots buried in the soil.

173

174 2.4. Measurements, sampling, and analysis

175 Initial growth measurements (maximum height and basal diameter) were conducted at the
176 planting in spring 2013, as well as at mortality. This inventory was repeated in the fall in
177 2013, 2014, 2015, and 2016.

178 According to climate data for 2016, monthly precipitation and temperature over the
179 growing season (May–October) was similar to normal mean values calculated for the past
180 30 years.

181 2.4.1. Coarse root observations

182 In this study, only roots with a diameter > 4 mm were considered coarse, structural roots
183 (Danjon and Reubens, 2008). Observation trenches were dug after four growing seasons
184 (June 2016) under the second tree of the second line from the bottom of the slope,
185 approximately ten centimeters from the stem. These $1 \times 1 \times 1$ m³ trenches were dug with
186 a mechanical shovel (Figure 3) for each plot (N = 18). The maximum rooting depth was
187 noted for each treatment.

188 2.4.2. Root extraction

189 In June 2016 (fourth growing season), the root systems of eighteen trees (one tree in the
190 center of each plot for each treatment), were excavated with a high-pressure water jet using
191 a hydraulic pump (PUMP 2 " MULTIQUIP, N Series: 2H-7348). The structure of the root
192 system was photographed, described, and schematized (360° distribution, vertical
193 distribution). The overall description of the root systems was complemented by the
194 following quantitative measurements: the number of main lateral roots (roots with a
195 diameter > 10 mm), the number of branches from each main root, the diameter of each
196 main root every 50 cm (until there was no more change in the diameter), the total length of
197 the main roots until reaching a diameter < 4 mm, the angles between main lateral roots of
198 the upslope side and the line separating upper and lower slope, and the number of sinker
199 roots (in-depth). Measurements were performed from the collar (origin of all roots) in the
200 direction of growth. Prior to excavation, the stem basal diameter (diameter of the base of
201 the stem) and maximum height were measured for the eighteen studied hybrid poplars. For
202 each excavated tree, the aboveground biomass and belowground biomass was measured.
203 The material was oven-dried at 90 °C for 48 h for the aboveground parts and for 72 h for
204 the roots. Once dried, the samples were weighed.

205 A root anchorage index was calculated to integrate some of the key measured root
206 architectural parameters (Figure 4) for each plot. These included:

- 207 • Angles between the main lateral roots (A_n): the sum of the angles between each
 208 main lateral root on the upslope side and the line separating upper and lower slope
 209 was calculated for each excavated tree (one tree per plot, twelve in total).
 210 • Diameters of the lateral roots on the upslope side (d ; mm): the diameter of each
 211 lateral root was measured at the collar of each excavated tree.
 212 • The length of the main lateral roots¹ (L ; cm):
 213 • The maximum rooting depth (roots with a diameter > 4 mm) (P ; cm): measured for
 214 each excavated tree.

215 Two indexes were calculated and compared:

216 I_1 (cm) normalized by the sum of the diameters of the upslope roots.

217 I_2 (cm²) multiplied by maximum root depth and divided by soil depth.

$$\text{Stability index 1}(I_1) = \frac{\sum_0^n (x_n d_n)}{\sum_0^n d_n}$$

$$= \frac{\sum_0^n (L d_n \sin A_n)}{\sum_0^n d_n}$$

218

$$\text{Stability index 2}(I_2) = \sum_0^n (x_n d_n) \left(\frac{P}{\text{soil depth}} \right)$$

$$= \sum_0^n (L d_n \sin A_n) \left(\frac{P}{\text{soil depth}} \right)$$

219

220 The concept is based on the balance of forces in a 2-D plane. The following hypotheses
 221 were considered:

¹ The length was considered constant with L: 50 cm. According to field observations, the diameter of the main structural roots decreases rapidly after 50 cm, thus it is chosen to consider a maximum length of 50 cm and constant in the calculations. It is considered that the maximum root reinforcement occurs at this level, close to the trunk.

- 222 • Hypothesis 1: the traction force exerted on the lower trunk is transferred to the
223 upslope roots as a tensile force. If we assume that the force exerted is in the
224 direction of the y-axis, only the y component of the roots will resist the exerted
225 force. We also consider that everything happens in a 2-D plane.
- 226 • Hypothesis 2: the downslope roots are in compression and have a negligible impact
227 on the resistance of the roots to the uprooting force exerted by the winch.
- 228 • Hypothesis 3: for the first index (I_1), it is assumed that the influence of the roots is
229 limited to a certain length¹. It is also assumed that the root diameter has an influence
230 on its ability to resist the tension exerted.

231 2.4.3. Lateral uprooting tests

232 In July 2016 (fourth growing season), lateral traction tests were performed for eighteen
233 hybrid poplars (one tree in the center of each plot) using methods adapted from previous
234 studies (Thiffault, 2010; Sheedy, 1996; Grouard, 1995). Before the uprooting tests,
235 maximum height and basal diameter of the stem were measured for each tree.

236 The point of attachment was determined by preliminary tests in order to have a significant
237 force on the root systems and not cause curvature of the stems. Our study aimed to
238 characterize the anchoring of young trees with a test where shear was the main mechanical
239 stress applied to the tree. Our objective was not to reproduce the effect of the wind on tree
240 stability but to evaluate the strength of root anchorage associated to differing planting
241 designs. The objective was to compare the anchorage of trees to select the design (s) that
242 minimized the risks of planted trees' uprooting that could affect the integrity of revegetated
243 mine berms. Thus, for the pullout tests performed, the cable used for the lateral uprooting
244 tests was attached as low as possible on the stem (at the base of the tree). Therefore, the
245 response corresponds to the maximum resistance of the root system to a shear force. An
246 automatic winch (WARN Pro Vantage 2500SCE 4200 lb) was used. It was attached to an
247 optimum dynamometer scale model OP 926 (Optima Led Digital Hanging Scale 2000 lb),
248 which was in turn attached to the tree with a sling and chain around the base of the trunk.
249 The force was measured by the dynamometer and the readings were converted to Newtons.
250 A lateral force (0-8811N) was exerted down the slope, parallel to the slope. The applied

251 force (N) and the displacement (cm) of the tree (displacement of the stem compared to the
252 initial state) were noted each minute until the root system was removed from the soil.

253 2.5. *Statistical tests*

254 Results from the lateral uprooting tests (maximum resisting force); root architecture
255 observations (mean root diameter, mean number of roots, mean number of root branches);
256 biomass analyses (aboveground and belowground biomass, shoot to root ratio); maximum
257 measured rooting depths; and calculated root anchorage indexes were analyzed using
258 mixed linear models created with R (ver.3.1.0). The fixed effects were planting material
259 and soil quality, and the random effect was block. An ANOVA with repeated measures
260 was used for tree height, basal diameter, and diameter at breast height. The normality of
261 the response variables and the ANOVA assumptions were verified. The Tukey multiple
262 comparison test was used when an effect was significant. A significance level of 5% was
263 considered for the statistical analyses performed in this study. Correlations between the
264 maximum resisting force and the stability indices (I_1 and I_2) were tested with Pearson's
265 correlation analyse.

266

267 **3. Results**

268 3.1. *Growth, survival, and poplar biomass*

269 After four growing seasons, survival was high (95-100%) for all treatments (Table1). The
270 maximum height of the trees was lower for the cuttings compared to the other two types of
271 planting materials until autumn 2015 for the topsoil only treatment and autumn 2014 for
272 the topsoil + mineral soil treatment (Figure 5). However, at the end of the fourth growing
273 season, none of the treatments were statistically different in terms of the aboveground and
274 belowground development of trees (maximum height, basal diameter, diameter at breast
275 height, root biomass, aboveground biomass, aboveground/belowground ratio,
276 aboveground/total biomass and belowground/total biomass; Table2). No interactions were
277 observed between planting material and soil quality. In the fourth growing season, mean
278 tree height ranged from 327 to 471 cm and the basal diameter ranged from 50 to 78 mm.

279 Aboveground biomass (1791-2672 g) was four times greater than the root biomass (379-
280 566 g).

281 3.2. *Root architecture*

282 Four years after planting, there was no significant difference between the topsoil only and
283 topsoil + mineral soil treatments in terms of the main quantitative variables describing the
284 root system structure (Table3). These included the: mean root number, mean root diameter,
285 maximum rooting depth, and number of sinker roots. Similarly, the planting material had
286 no significant effect on the same variables. There was no interaction between planting
287 material and the quality of soil. Hybrid poplars showed herringbone root structure (figure
288 6) characterized by a dominant vertical axis (main shoot of the planting material that was
289 pulled down 30cm-deep in the soil at planting for whips and cuttings), with lateral roots
290 distributed on this axis every 10-20 cm. For each tree, the vertical axis diameter was
291 constant vertically. The main roots (lateral roots) were ramified and could extend to lengths
292 of more than 2 m (horizontally). The diameter of these roots varied between 11 and 26 mm
293 at a maximal distance of 1 m from the trunk. The diameter of the lateral roots decreased
294 rapidly after a length of 50 cm.

295 For all root parameters, the results showed that the two calculated root anchorage indexes
296 did not change significantly with planting material or soil quality. There was no interaction
297 between the two evaluated factors (planting material and soil quality). (Figure7).

298 3.3. *Lateral uprooting tests*

299 For both the aboveground and belowground parameters, soil quality and tree planting
300 material did not significantly affect the maximum resistance to uprooting and there was no
301 significant interaction between the two tested factors. The measured maximum resistance
302 force to uprooting varied between 7351 and 8851 N (Figure8), with the following mean
303 values for the topsoil treatment (whip: 7320 N, cutting: 8767 N, and bareroot: 8046 N) and
304 topsoil + mineral soil treatment (whip: 7049 N, cutting: 8587 N, and bareroot: 8026 N).

305 Figure 9 shows the evaluation of the uprooting force relative to the displacement of the
306 tree; all treatment curves had similar uprooting speeds. The trees were generally uprooted
307 after 30 cm of displacement when the force reached approximately 8000 N.

308 The Pearson correlation between root anchorage index 1 (I_1) and the maximum resisting
309 force is 0.92 ($P = <.0001$). The Pearson correlation coefficient between index (I_2) and
310 maximum resisting force is 0.89 ($P = <.0001$).

311 **4. Discussion**

312 To the best of our knowledge, this trial is one of a kind study that examines the effect of
313 planting material and soil quality on the anchorage of trees planted on waste rock slopes.

314 Tree stability can be greatly influenced by the distribution of biomass between the
315 aboveground and belowground parts. Contrary to the authors' first hypothesis, there were
316 no statistically significant differences between the six treatments in terms of aboveground
317 biomass, belowground biomass, and root/shoot ratios. Prior experiments by DesRochers
318 and Tremblay (2009) compared four planting materials (bareroots, rootstocks, whips, and
319 cuttings) of hybrid poplars in a clayey soil. They observed significant differences in the
320 root/shoot ratio that were attributed to the different planting types, but only in the first
321 growing season. Thus, the difference between rooted and unrooted planting materials can
322 disappear over successive growing seasons (Sidhu and Dhillon, 2007). At the end of growth
323 monitoring in the present study (autumn 2016), the trees from cuttings were the same sizes
324 as those from the bareroots and whips, despite their height being much smaller at the
325 beginning of the experiment. This could be explained by a higher growth rate in the cuttings
326 (Desrochers and Tremblay, 2009; McNabb and Vanderschaaf, 2005). Results from the
327 present study also indicated similar mean diameters for the three tested planting materials
328 after four growing seasons, despite the initial mean diameter of the whips being higher than
329 that of the cuttings and bareroot seedlings. Similarly, previous studies did not find a
330 positive relationship between initial dimensions of plants and growth (Robison and
331 Raffa 1996, hybrid poplar; Haissig, 1984, *Pinus banksiana*). However, studies that
332 examined other tree species, such as black spruce (*Picea mariana*), white spruce (*Picea*
333 *glauca*), Olga Bay larch (*Larix olgensis*), douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*), western
334 hemlock (*Tsuga heterophylla*), and Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*), have noted that initial
335 plant size parameters, especially diameter, influence survival and growth performance
336 (Thiffault et al., 2014; Li et al. 2011; Jobidon et al. 2003; Newton et al. 1993). Cuttings
337 with large initial diameters can contain more non-structural carbohydrates and thus provide

338 a greater energy reserve, improving the likelihood of rooting success (Landhausser et al.
339 2012; Tschaplinski and Blake, 1989). However, it appears that the effect of carbohydrate
340 reserves on rooting ability depends on the site-specific environmental conditions and on
341 the tree species (Thiffault et al. 2014; Pinto et al. 2011).

342 The difference in root/shoot ratio has a significant effect on growth because of its
343 implications for water uptake and water loss in trees (Grossnickle, 2000). The survival and
344 growth of cuttings and whips were as high as bareroot seedlings despite the fact that they
345 needed to develop new roots to access soil resources. Bareroot plants already have a root
346 system at planting and therefore have rapid access to water and nutrients. However,
347 bareroot plant roots could have poor contact with the soil (air pockets between root and
348 soil) (Grossnickle, 2000). Moreover, bareroot plants have to support large aboveground
349 parts and must regenerate new roots to facilitate water and nutrient uptake. The buried
350 portion of the stem of poplar cuttings and whips can develop roots from their stems when
351 conditions are appropriate (DeBell and Harrington, 1997; Hansen et al., 1993; Friend et al.,
352 1991). Other studies have reported varying performances among planting material types
353 (Douglas et al., 2016; MacDonald et al. 2015; Thiffault, 2004; Newton et al. 1993).
354 Additionally, Duddles and Owston (1990) indicated that the site conditions could have
355 more impact on growth and survival than the planting material type. The rate of survival
356 and height growth of the tested hybrid poplar clone (26 – 143 cm. yr⁻¹) were similar or
357 superior to those observed for the same poplar clone in plantations on other sites with
358 similar boreal conditions (40 cm. yr⁻¹, Benomar et al., 2012; Larchevêque et al., 2011). It
359 appears that, with the use of a 50-cm-thick layer of overburden soil, hybrid poplar planting
360 could be appropriate for the revegetation of waste rock slopes under both short- and
361 medium-term conditions.

362 Soil quality directly influences tree development and survival. Notably, the presence of
363 organic matter influences many functions in the soil (Rezaei and Gilkes 2005; Doran and
364 Parkin, 1994). Moreover, prior studies have shown a positive effect of soil thickness on
365 tree growth and survival (Larchevêque and Pednault, 2016; Tordoff et al. 2000). Contrary
366 to the authors' second hypothesis, there were no significant differences in the growth and
367 survival of trees when the topsoil (and the organic matter) quantity increased. The
368 development of the hybrid poplar tested in this study was equivalent in 50 cm of topsoil as

369 in a combination of 40 cm of mineral soil and 10 cm of topsoil. This could be explained by
370 the improvement of the quality of the mineral soil after the four years of planting. In
371 particular, the establishment of herbaceous plants can constitute a source of organic matter
372 by providing litter (Zhou et al. 2008; Li et al. 2006).

373 The anchorage of a tree is controlled by the architecture of its root system, as well as its
374 interactions with the soil. Root architecture has an important influence on the tensile forces
375 mobilized in the roots (Dupuy et al., 2005). In the present study, neither the planting
376 material diameter nor the soil quality influenced the root architecture of the tested hybrid
377 poplar. Both rooted (bareroots) and unrooted plants (cuttings and whips) developed coarse
378 root system with similar architectures after four growing seasons. In particular, there was
379 no difference observed between treatments in terms of the quantitative variables used to
380 evaluate and describe the root system structures. Thiffault (2010) measured stability
381 (resistance to winching) of large containerized and bareroot black spruce (*Picea mariana*)
382 seedlings in the seventh growing season and characterized their root architecture. Their
383 results showed no significant effects due to planting material on the trees' stabilities or root
384 architectures. In light of the results of the present study, which showed that there was no
385 significant difference between the six treatments in terms of the maximum resistance to the
386 uprooting, the second and third hypotheses were dismissed. Indeed, it is especially the
387 lateral roots located in the first horizons of the soil that intervene in the anchoring (Ennos
388 et al. 1993; Danjon et al. 2005). A homogeneous distribution of these roots is essential to
389 form the soil root plate around the stem to ensure anchorage. After four growing seasons
390 however, the studied poplars were too young to observe a well-developed root-plate.
391 Moreover, during a mechanical stress, if the 360° distribution of the roots is homogeneous,
392 tension will be applied in a homogeneous way, which will increase the tree's resistance to
393 an uprooting force (Danjon and Reubens, 2008).

394 The establishment of the root architecture during the development of the plant is a complex
395 process (Pagès and Pellerin 1996). The physical properties of the soil (structure and
396 texture) play a major role in the anchorage resistance of the plant. Depending on the nature
397 and texture of the soil, the anchorage of the plant can be modified (Dupuy et al. 2007;
398 Quine et al. 1991). Root development could be affected by constraints encountered in
399 certain soils, such as rocks (Danjon et al. 1999; Quine et al. 1991). Research has shown

400 that root systems are more mechanically resistant in clays than in sandy soils (Dupuy et al.
401 2007). Prior works also show that soil moisture conditions can affect plant stability.
402 However, the effect of the degree of saturation of soil on plant stability depends on the type
403 of soil and remains poorly understood (Kamimura et al. 2012). Contrary to the authors'
404 fourth hypothesis, the results of this study showed a similar effect of both tested soils in
405 terms of tree stability. For the studied hybrid poplar, there were no significant differences
406 between the two soil qualities in terms of the number of roots, diameter of the roots,
407 maximum rooting depth, the number of sinker roots (in-depth). In the present study, it
408 appears that the thickness of the soil above waste rocks, rather than its quality, could have
409 mostly controlled the root development and, therefore, the anchorage of the trees. Indeed,
410 maximum rooting depths were lower than the soil thickness of 50 cm for all treatments (no
411 coarse roots observed in the underlying waste rocks).

412 In line with the results of the maximum resistance to the uprooting, root architecture,
413 shoot/root ratio, and plant size measurements showed no significant differences due to
414 either planting material or soil quality. This was reflected in the two calculated root
415 anchorage indexes. The two root anchorage indexes are strongly correlated to the
416 maximum resistance to the uprooting. The use of these indexes appears to be an interesting
417 option for evaluating the root anchorage of trees without using lateral uprooting tests. The
418 first index (*I1*) integrates the 180° distribution of the root system and could allow evaluating
419 the stability of older trees, as well as for species with a branched root system for which
420 anchorage is achieved mainly by the distribution of the roots (Bailey et al. 2002). The
421 second index (*I2*) also integrates the vertical distribution of roots and would be more
422 interesting to use for species growing in deeper soils for which anchorage depends mainly
423 on taproots (Danquechin Dorval et al. 2016; Toral et al. 2011).

424

425 **5. Conclusion**

426 This study demonstrated that the root anchorage of a four-year-old plantation of hybrid
427 poplar was not affected by soil quality (variable topsoil thickness) or by planting material
428 (variable shoot/root ratio) when 50 cm of overburden soil was used to cover waste rock
429 slopes. Results indicated that there was no significant difference between treatments in

430 terms of: the maximum resistance to uprooting, number of lateral roots, mean root
431 diameter, root biomass, aboveground biomass, shoot/root ratio, maximum height, and basal
432 diameter. This finding is interesting as it demonstrates that the performance of cuttings (in
433 terms of stability, root development, aboveground growth, and survival) is as high as that
434 of whips and bareroot plants, while the costs related to their production are lower.
435 Moreover, in the short term, there was no significant difference between hybrid poplars
436 planted on a 50 cm topsoil layer or on a soil layer comprised of 10 cm of topsoil and 40 cm
437 of mineral soil in terms of survival, growth, root development, and tree stability. Therefore,
438 lower quantities of topsoil could be used, thus reducing the challenge that mining
439 companies face in finding sufficient quantities of soil for the revegetation of sites.

440 In the future, it is believed that these results will be maintained. Four years after planting,
441 the root architecture of hybrid poplars is already defined and, without change due to
442 external environmental factors, the root distribution will only become more complex over
443 time. However, it could be interesting to evaluate the effect of soil quality and planting
444 material on native species and species with different root systems, or to examine greater
445 soil thicknesses over waste rocks.

446

447

448 **Acknowledgements**

449 We thank the research technician and undergraduate students who helped in the fieldwork.

450 This study was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of

451 Canada (NSERC) and the Canadian Malartic Partnership.

452

453 **Funding**

454 This work was funded by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of

455 Canada (NSERC; grant number RDCPJ 462610-13) and the Canadian Malartic

456 Partnership.

457

458

459

460 **References**

461 Agriculture and Agri-Food Canada, 2014. Length of Growing Season in Quebec, Baseline:
462 1971-2000. [http://www.agr.gc.ca/eng/science-and_innovation/agriculturalpractices/
463 climate/future-outlook/climate-change-scenarios/length-of-growingseason- in-
464 quebec/?id=1363104198111](http://www.agr.gc.ca/eng/science-and_innovation/agriculturalpractices/climate/future-outlook/climate-change-scenarios/length-of-growingseason-in-quebec/?id=1363104198111) (accessed 05 December 2019).

465 Archer, J. R., & Smith, P. D. (1972). The relation between bulk density, available water
466 capacity, and air capacity of soils. *Journal of Soil Science*, 23(4), 475-480.

467 Aubertin, M., Bussiere, B., Bernier, L. (2002). Environnement et gestion des résidus
468 miniers. CD, Les Editions de l'École Polytechnique de Montréal.

469 Babi, K., Guittonny, M., Larocque, G. R., & Bussière, B. (2019). Effects of spacing and
470 herbaceous hydroseeding on water stress exposure and root development of poplars planted
471 in soil-covered waste rock slopes. *Écoscience*, 26(2), 149-163.

472 Bailey, P. H., Currey, J. D., & Fitter, A. H. (2002). The role of root system architecture and
473 root hairs in promoting anchorage against uprooting forces in *Allium cepa* and root mutants
474 of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 53(367), 333-340.

475 Balleux, P., & Van Lerberghe, P. (2001). *Boisement des terres agricoles: guide technique*.
476 Forêt privée française.

477 Bell, H. L., Dawson, C.J., Wright, C.J. (1991). Tree stability. *In Hodge: Research for*
478 *practical arboriculture*, York, 2-4 April 1990.

479 Benomar, L., DesRochers, A., & Larocque, G. R. (2012). The effects of spacing on growth,
480 morphology and biomass production and allocation in two hybrid poplar clones growing
481 in the boreal region of Canada. *Trees*, 26(3), 939-949.

482 Block, R. M., Knight, J. D., Booth, N. W., & Van Rees, K. C. (2009). Nursery stock type,
483 nitrogen fertilization and shoot pruning effects on the growth of juvenile hybrid poplar in
484 Saskatchewan. *Canadian journal of plant science*, 89(2), 289-301.

485 Burger, J. A., C. E. Zipper, (2002). How to restore forests on surface-mined land:
486 Reclamation guidelines for surfacemined land in Southwest Virginia. Virginia Cooperative
487 Extension, Powell River Series, Publication 460–123.

488 Casselman, C. N., Fox, T. R., Burger, J. A., Jones, A. T., & Galbraith, J. M. (2006). Effects
489 of silvicultural treatments on survival and growth of trees planted on reclaimed mine lands
490 in the Appalachians. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 223(1-3), 403-414.

491 Celik, I., Ortas, I., & Kilic, S. (2004). Effects of compost, mycorrhiza, manure and fertilizer
492 on some physical properties of a Chromoxerert soil. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 78(1), 59-
493 67.

494 Charnet, F. (2003). L'enracinement des arbres et les propriétés physiques des sols. Forêt-
495 entreprise. 154 :3 7-43.

496 Clark Ashby, W. (1995). Hybrid Populus in reclamation. *International Journal of Surface*
497 *Mining and Reclamation*, 9(2), 69-71.

498 Coutts, M. P., Nielsen, C. C. N., & Nicoll, B. C. (1999). The development of symmetry,
499 rigidity and anchorage in the structural root system of conifers. *Plant and soil*, 217(1-2),
500 1-15.

501 CROOK, M. J., & Ennos, A. R. (1993). The mechanics of root lodging in winter wheat,
502 *Triticum aestivum* L. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 44(7), 1219-1224.

503 Curt, T., Lucot, E., & Bouchaud, M. (2001). Douglas-fir root biomass and rooting profile
504 in relation to soils in a mid-elevation area (Beaujolais Mounts, France). *Plant and*
505 *Soil*, 233(1), 109-125.

506 Danjon, F., Fourcaud, T., & Bert, D. (2005). Root architecture and wind-firmness of mature
507 *Pinus pinaster*. *New Phytologist*, 168(2), 387-400.

508 Danjon, F., & Reubens, B. (2008). Assessing and analyzing 3D architecture of woody root
509 systems, a review of methods and applications in tree and soil stability, resource acquisition
510 and allocation. *Plant and Soil*, 303(1-2), 1-34.

511 Danjon, F., Sinoquet, H., Godin, C., Colin, F., & Drexhage, M. (1999). Characterisation of
512 structural tree root architecture using 3D digitising and AMAPmod software. *Plant and*
513 *soil*, 211(2), 241-258.

514 Danquechin Dorval, A., Meredieu, C., & Danjon, F. (2016). Anchorage failure of young
515 trees in sandy soils is prevented by a rigid central part of the root system with various
516 designs. *Annals of botany*, 118(4), 747-762.

517 Das, D. K., & Chaturvedi, O. P. (2008). Root biomass and distribution of five agroforestry
518 tree species. *Agroforestry systems*, 74(3), 223.

519 Davis, V., Burger, J., Rathfon, R., Zipper, C., & Miller, C. (2012). Selecting tree species
520 for reforestation of Appalachian mined land. US Office of Surface Mining, Appalachian
521 Regional Reforestation Initiative, Forest Reclamation Advisory Number 9. DeBell, D. S.,
522 & Harrington, C. A. (1997). Productivity of Populus in monoclonal and polyclonal blocks
523 at three spacings. *Canadian journal of forest research*, 27(7), 978-985.

524 DesRochers, A., & Tremblay, F. (2009). The effect of root and shoot pruning on early
525 growth of hybrid poplars. *Forest ecology and management*, 258(9), 2062-2067.

526 DesRochers, A., Thomas, B. R., & Butson, R. (2004). Reclamation of roads and landings
527 with balsam poplar cuttings. *Forest ecology and management*, 199(1), 39-50.

528 Dexter, A. R. (1988). Advances in characterization of soil structure. *Soil and tillage*
529 *research*, 11(3-4), 199-238.

530 Dexter, A. R. (2004). Soil physical quality: Part I. Theory, effects of soil texture, density,
531 and organic matter, and effects on root growth. *Geoderma*, 120(3-4), 201-214.

532 Douglas, G. B., McIvor, I. R., & Lloyd-West, C. M. (2016). Early root development of
533 field-grown poplar: effects of planting material and genotype. *New Zealand Journal of*
534 *Forestry Science*, 46(1), 1.

535 Drénou, C. (2006). *Les racines: face cachée des arbres*. Forêt privée française.

536 Doran, J. W., & Parkin, T. B. (1994). Defining and assessing soil quality. *Defining soil*
537 *quality for a sustainable environment*, 35, 1-21.

538 Duddles, R. E., & Owston, P. W. (1990, August). Performance of conifer stock types on
539 national forests in the Oregon and Washington Coast Ranges. In eds), *Target Seedling*
540 *Symposium: Proceedings, Combined Meeting of the Western Forest Nursery*
541 *Association* (pp. 263-268).

542 Dupuy, L., Fourcaud, T., & Stokes, A. (2007). A numerical investigation into the influence
543 of soil quality and root architecture on tree anchorage. In *Eco-and ground bio-engineering:*
544 *The use of vegetation to improve slope stability* (pp. 175-189). Springer, Dordrecht.

545 Dupuy, L., Fourcaud, T., & Stokes, A. (2005). A numerical investigation into factors
546 affecting the anchorage of roots in tension. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 56(3), 319-
547 327.

548 Ennos, A. R., Crook, M. J., & Grimshaw, C. (1993). A comparative study of the anchorage
549 systems of Himalayan balsam *Impatiens glandulifera* and mature sunflower *Helianthus*
550 *annuus*. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 44(1), 133-146.

551 Fitter, A.H. (1991). The ecological significance of root system architecture: an economic
552 approach. In: Atkinson, D. (ed.). *Plant root growth. An ecological perspective*. Blackwell
553 *Scientific Publications*, Oxford. 229–243.

554 Friend, A. L., Scarascia-Mugnozza, G., Isebrands, J. G., & Heilman, P. E. (1991).
555 Quantification of two-year-old hybrid poplar root systems: morphology, biomass, and ¹⁴C
556 distribution. *Tree Physiology*, 8(2), 109-119.

557

558 Garrett, H. E., Rietveld, W. J., & Fisher, R. F. (2009). *North American agroforestry: An*
559 *integrated science and practice*. Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy.

560 Godin, C. (2000). Representing and encoding plant architecture: a review. *Annals of forest*
561 *science*, 57(5), 413-438.

562 Godin, C., Costes, E., & Sinoquet, H. (1999). A method for describing plant architecture
563 which integrates topology and geometry. *Annals of botany*, 84(3), 343-357.

564 Gregory, P. J. (2006). *Plant roots: Growth, activity and interaction with soils.*, (Blackwell
565 *Publishing: Oxford, UK*).

566 Grossnickle, S. C. (2000). Ecophysiology of northern spruce species: the performance of
567 planted seedlings. NRC Research Press.

568 Grossnickle, S. C. (2005). Importance of root growth in overcoming planting stress. *New*
569 *Forests*, 30(2-3), 273-294.

570 Guittonny-Larchevêque, M., & Pednault, C. (2016). Substrate comparison for short-term
571 success of a multispecies tree plantation in thickened tailings of a boreal gold mine. *New*
572 *forests*, 47(5), 763-781.

573 Guittonny-Larchevêque, M., Bussière, B., & Pednault, C. (2016). Tree–substrate water
574 relations and root development in tree plantations used for mine tailings
575 reclamation. *Journal of environmental quality*, 45(3), 1036-1045.

576 Gyssels, G., Poesen, J., Bochet, E., & Li, Y. (2005). Impact of plant roots on the resistance
577 of soils to erosion by water: a review. *Progress in physical geography*, 29(2), 189-217.

578 Haissig, B. E. (1984). Carbohydrate accumulation and partitioning in *Pinus banksiana*
579 seedlings and seedling cuttings. *Physiologia plantarum*, 61(1), 13-19.

580 Hansen, E. A., Netzer, D., & Toldsted, D. N. (1993). Guideline for Establishing Poplar
581 Plantations in the North-West US.

582 Headlee, W. L., Zalesny Jr, R. S., & Hall, R. B. (2019). Coarse root biomass and
583 architecture of hybrid aspen ‘Crandon’(*Populus alba* L.× *P. grandidentata* Michx.) grown in
584 an agroforestry system in central Iowa, USA. *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 38(1), 18-
585 30.

586 Hansen, E., Moore, L., Netzer, D., Ostry, M., Phipps, H., & Zavitkovski, J. (1983).
587 Establishing intensively cultured hybrid poplar plantations for fuel and fiber. *General*
588 *Technical Report NC-78*. St. Paul, MN: US Dept. of Agriculture, Forest Service, North
589 *Central Forest Experiment Station*, 78.

590 Harper, J.L., Jones, M., Sackville-Hamilton, N.R. (1991). The evolution of roots and the
591 problems of analysing their behaviour. In: Atkinson, D. (ed.). *Plant root growth. An*
592 *ecological perspective*. Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford. p. 3–22.

593 Hutchings, M. J., & John, E. A. (2003). Distribution of roots in soil, and root foraging
594 activity. In *Root ecology* (pp. 33-60). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

595 Kamimura, K., Kitagawa, K., Saito, S., & Mizunaga, H. (2012). Root anchorage of hinoki
596 (*Chamaecyparis obtuse* (Sieb. Et Zucc.) Endl.) under the combined loading of wind and
597 rapidly supplied water on soil: analyses based on tree-pulling experiments. *European*
598 *Journal of Forest Research*, 131(1), 219-227.

599 Kano-Nakata, M., Nakamura, T., Mitsuya, S., & Yamauchi, A. (2019). Plasticity in root
600 system architecture of rice genotypes exhibited under different soil water distributions in
601 soil profile. *Plant Production Science*, 1-9.

602 Khaleel, R., Reddy, K. R., & Overcash, M. R. (1981). Changes in soil physical properties
603 due to organic waste applications: a review. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 10(2), 133-
604 141.

605 Khuder, H., Stokes, A., Danjon, F., Gouskou, K., & Lagane, F. (2007). Is it possible to
606 manipulate root anchorage in young trees?. *Plant and soil*, 294(1-2), 87-102.

607 James, K. R., Haritos, N., & Ades, P. K. (2006). Mechanical stability of trees under
608 dynamic loads. *American journal of Botany*, 93(10), 1522-1530.

609 Jobidon, R., Roy, V., & Cyr, G. (2003). Net effect of competing vegetation on selected
610 environmental conditions and performance of four spruce seedling stock sizes after eight
611 years in Québec (Canada). *Annals of Forest Science*, 60(7), 691-699.

612 Johansson, K., Nilsson, U., & Allen, H. L. (2007). Interactions between soil scarification
613 and Norway spruce seedling types. *New Forests*, 33(1), 13-27.

614 Jutras, S., Thiffault, N., & Munson, A. D. (2007). Comparing large bareroot and container
615 stock: water stress as influenced by peat and soil water availability. *Tree Planter's Notes*
616 *Is Back!*.

617 Landhäusser, S. M., Rodriguez-Alvarez, J., Marenholtz, E. H., & Lieffers, V. J. (2012).
618 Effect of stock type characteristics and time of planting on field performance of aspen
619 (*Populus tremuloides* Michx.) seedlings on boreal reclamation sites. *New Forests*, 43(5-6),
620 679-693.

621 Larchevêque, M., Desrochers, A., Bussière, B., & Cimon, D. (2014). Planting trees in soils
622 above non-acid-generating wastes of a boreal gold mine. *Écoscience*, 21(3-4), 217-231.

623 Larchevêque, M., Desrochers, A., & Larocque, G. R. (2011). Comparison of manure
624 compost and mineral fertilizer for hybrid poplar plantation establishment on boreal heavy
625 clay soils. *Annals of forest science*, 68(4), 849-860.

626 Lebourgeois., F. & Jabiol., B. (2002). Enracinements comparés du chêne sessile, du chêne
627 pédoncule et de hêtre. Réflexions sur l'autoécologie des essences. *Revue Forestière*
628 *Française (LIV)*. 1 :17-42.

629 Levy, G. (1968). Importance des propriétés du sol pour l'enracinement de *Picea excelsa* et
630 de *Pinus sylvestris*. In *Annales des Sciences Forestières* (Vol. 25, No. 3, pp. 157-188). EDP
631 Sciences.

632 Li, G. L., Liu, Y., Zhu, Y., Yang, J., Sun, H. Y., Jia, Z. K., & Ma, L. Y. (2011). Influence
633 of initial age and size on the field performance of *Larix olgensis* seedlings. *New*
634 *Forests*, 42(2), 215-226.

635 Li, X. R., Jia, X. H., & Dong, G. R. (2006). Influence of desertification on vegetation
636 pattern variations in the cold semi-arid grasslands of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau, North-west
637 China. *Journal of arid environments*, 64(3), 505-522.

638 Ludovici, K. H. (2004). SOIL BIOLOGY AND TREE GROWTH| Tree Roots and their
639 Interaction with Soil.

640 Macdonald, S. E., Landhäusser, S. M., Skousen, J., Franklin, J., Frouz, J., Hall, S., ... &
641 Quideau, S. (2015). Forest restoration following surface mining disturbance: challenges
642 and solutions. *New Forests*, 46(5-6), 703-732.

643 Marinari, S., Masciandaro, G., Ceccanti, B., & Grego, S. (2000). Influence of organic and
644 mineral fertilisers on soil biological and physical properties. *Bioresource*
645 *technology*, 72(1), 9-17.

646 McGill, D. W., Ford, V. L., & McNeel, J. F. (2004, April). Early development of a species
647 test established on surface mines thirty years post-reclamation. In *Proceedings of the*
648 *national meeting of the American society for surface mining and reclamation*. Lexington,
649 *KY: American Society for Surface Mining and Reclamation* (pp. 1227-1238).

650 Mc Nabb, K., & VanderSchaaf, C. (2005). Growth of graded sweetgum 3 years after root
651 and shoot pruning. *New forests*, 29(3), 313-320.

652 Mench, M., Bussiere, S., Boisson, J., Castaing, E., Vangronsveld, J., Ruttens, A., Manceau,
653 A. (2003). Progress in remediation and revegetation of the barren Jales gold mine spoil
654 after in situ treatments. *Plant and Soil*, 249(1), 187-202.

655 Meunier, S., Ruel, J. C., Laflamme, G., & Achim, A. (2002). Résistance comparée de
656 l'épinette blanche et du sapin baumier au renversement. *Canadian journal of forest
657 research*, 32(4), 642-652.

658 Mohammed, G.H., McLeod, G.R., Menes, P.A., & Timmer, V.R. (2001). A comparison of
659 bareroot and container stock. Dans R.G. Wagner et S.J. Colombo (eds.). *Regenerating the
660 Canadian Forest: Principles and Practices for Ontario*. pp. 343–348. Fitzhenry &
661 Whiteside, Markham, ON.

662 Moore, J. R. (2000). Differences in maximum resistive bending moments of *Pinus radiata*
663 trees grown on a range of soil types. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 135(1-3), 63-71.

664 Nagarajah, S. (1987). Effects of soil texture on the rooting patterns of Thompson Seedless
665 vines on own roots and on Ramsey rootstock in irrigated vineyards. *American journal of
666 enology and viticulture*, 38(1), 54-59.

667 Newton M, Cole EC, & White DE. (1993). Tall planting stock for enhanced growth and
668 domination of brush in the Douglas-fir region. *New Forest* 7(2):107–121.

669 Nicoll, B. C., Gardiner, B. A., Rayner, B., & Peace, A. J. (2006). Anchorage of coniferous
670 trees in relation to species, soil quality, and rooting depth. *Canadian Journal of Forest
671 Research*, 36(7), 1871-1883.

672 Oades, J. M. (1984). Soil organic matter and structural stability: mechanisms and
673 implications for management. *Plant and soil*, 76(1-3), 319-337.

674 Pagès, L., & Pellerin, S. (1996). Study of differences between vertical root maps observed
675 in a maize crop and simulated maps obtained using a model for the three-dimensional
676 architecture of the root system. *Plant and soil*, 182(2), 329-337.

677 Peltola, H., Kellomäki, S., Hassinen, A., & Granander, M. (2000). Mechanical stability of
678 Scots pine, Norway spruce and birch: an analysis of tree-pulling experiments in
679 Finland. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 135(1-3), 143-153.

680

681 Phillips, C. J., Marden, M., & Suzanne, L. M. (2014). Observations of root growth of young
682 poplar and willow planting types. *New Zealand Journal of Forestry Science*, 44(1), 15.

683 Pinto, J. R., Dumroese, R. K., Davis, A. S., & Landis, T. D. (2011). Conducting seedling
684 stocktype trials: a new approach to an old question. *Journal of Forestry*, 109(5), 293-299.

685 Quine, C. P., Burnand, A. C., Coutts, M. P., & Reynard, B. R. (1991). Effects of mounds
686 and stumps on the root architecture of Sitka spruce on a peaty gley restocking site. *Forestry:
687 An International Journal of Forest Research*, 64(4), 385-401.

688 Rezaei, S. A., & Gilkes, R. J. (2005). The effects of landscape attributes and plant
689 community on soil chemical properties in rangelands. *Geoderma*, 125(1-2), 167-176.

690 Réseau Ligniculture Québec. (2011). *Le Guide de Populiculture au Québec - Un guide
691 pratique sur la culture du peuplier hybride.*

692 Riemenschneider, D. E., & Bauer, E. O. (1997). Quantitative genetic analysis of
693 adventitious root forming ability in *Populus trichocarpa* (TORR ET. GRAY). In *Biology
694 of root formation and development* (pp. 193-201). Springer, Boston, MA.

695 Robison, D.J., Raffa, K.F., 1996. Importance of cutting diameter and method of production
696 on early growth of hybrid poplar. *Tree Planters' Notes* 47, 76–80.

697 Sainju, U. M., & Good, R. E. (1993). Vertical root distribution in relation to soil properties
698 in New Jersey Pinelands forests. *Plant and Soil*, 150(1), 87-97.

699 Schuurman, J. J. (1965). Influence of soil density on root development and growth of
700 oats. *Plant and Soil*, 22(3), 352-374.

701 Scott, R. E., & Mitchell, S. J. (2005). Empirical modelling of windthrow risk in partially
702 harvested stands using tree, neighbourhood, and stand attributes. *Forest Ecology and
703 Management*, 218(1-3), 193-209.

704

705 Sidhu, D. S., & Dhillon, G. P. S. (2007). Field performance of ten clones and two sizes of
706 planting stock of *Populus deltoides* on the Indo-gangetic plains of India. *New*
707 *Forests*, 34(2), 115-122.

708 Stokes, A., Spanos, I., Norris, J. E., & Cammeraat, E. (Eds.). (2007). *Eco-and Ground Bio-*
709 *engineering: the Use of Vegetation to Improve Slope Stability: Proceedings of the First*
710 *International Conference on Eco-engineering 13-17 September 2004 (Vol. 103)*. Springer
711 *Science & Business Media*.

712 Strong, W. L., & La Roi, G. H. (1985). Root density-soil relationships in selected boreal
713 forests of central Alberta, Canada. *Forest ecology and management*, 12(3-4), 233-251.

714 Tejada, M., & Gonzalez, J. L. (2007). Influence of organic amendments on soil structure
715 and soil loss under simulated rain. *Soil and Tillage Research*, 93(1), 197-205.

716 Thiffault, N. (2010). Stabilité mécanique et caractéristiques racinaires de plants de fortes
717 dimensions de *Picea mariana* produits en récipients ou à racines nues. *The Forestry*
718 *Chronicle*, 86(4), 469-476.

719 Thiffault, N. (2004). Stock type in intensive silviculture: a (short) discussion about roots
720 and size. *The Forestry Chronicle*, 80(4), 463-468.

721 Thiffault, N., Jobidon, R., & Munson, A. D. (2014). Comparing large containerized and
722 bareroot conifer stock on sites of contrasting vegetation composition in a non-herbicide
723 scenario. *New forests*, 45(6), 875-891.

724 Tordoff, G. M., A. J. M. Baker & A. J. Willis, 2000. Current approaches to the revegetation
725 and reclamation of metalliferous mine wastes. *Chemosphere*, 41: 219–228.

726 Toral, M., Bown, H. E., Mañon, A., Alvarez, J., & Navarro-Cerrillo, R. (2011). Wind-
727 induced leaning (toppling) in young *Pinus radiata* plantations in Chile *Inclinación por*
728 *viento en plantaciones juveniles de Pinus radiata, en Chile*.

729 Tschaplinski, T. J., & Blake, T. J. (1989). Correlation between early root production,
730 carbohydrate metabolism, and subsequent biomass production in hybrid poplar. *Canadian*
731 *Journal of Botany*, 67(7), 2168-2174.

732 Zipper, C. E. Burger, J.A. Skousen, J.G.Angel, P.N. Barton, C.D. Davis, V, Franklin, J.A.
733 (2011). Restoring forests and associated ecosystem services on Appalachian coal surface
734 mines. *Environ manage* 47: 751-765.

735 Zhou, R. L., Li, Y. Q., Zhao, H. L., & Drake, S. (2008). Desertification effects on C and N
736 content of sandy soils under grassland in Horqin, northern China. *Geoderma*, 145(3-4),
737 370-375.

738

739

740